

RESPONDING TO CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS WHO HAVE BEEN SEXUALLY ABUSED

WHO CLINICAL GUIDELINES



**World Health
Organization**

RESPONDING TO CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS WHO HAVE BEEN SEXUALLY ABUSED

WHO CLINICAL GUIDELINES



**World Health
Organization**

Responding to children and adolescents who have been sexually abused: WHO clinical guidelines

ISBN 978-92-4-155014-7

© **World Health Organization 2017**

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo>).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization.

Suggested citation. Responding to children and adolescents who have been sexually abused: WHO clinical guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data. CIP data are available at <http://apps.who.int/iris>.

Sales, rights and licensing. To purchase WHO publications, see <http://apps.who.int/bookorders>. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see <http://www.who.int/about/licensing>.

Third-party materials. If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

General disclaimers. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

Printed in Switzerland

Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| Acknowledgements | v |
| Acronyms and abbreviations | vi |
| Glossary | vii |
| Executive summary | 1 |
| Summary of guiding principles | 2 |
| Summary of recommendations (R) and good practice statements (GP) | 2 |
| 1. Background | 7 |
| A. The magnitude of child and adolescent sexual abuse | 7 |
| B. Health consequences of child and adolescent sexual abuse | 7 |
| C. Use of health services by children and adolescents who have experienced sexual abuse | 8 |
| D. Objectives of and rationale for this guideline | 8 |
| E. Target audience | 9 |
| F. Scope of the guideline | 10 |
| 2. Methods | 11 |
| A. Guideline contributors | 11 |
| B. Declaration of interests by external contributors | 11 |
| C. Identification of priority research questions and outcomes – scoping exercise | 12 |
| D. Values and preferences to inform good practices and guiding principles | 12 |
| E. Evidence retrieval | 13 |
| F. Quality assessment, synthesis and grading of the evidence | 13 |
| G. Formulation of recommendations | 13 |
| H. Document preparation and peer-review | 14 |
| 3. Guiding principles derived from ethical principles and human rights standards | 15 |
| A. The principle of best interests of the child or adolescent | 15 |
| B. The principle of evolving capacities of the child or adolescent | 16 |
| C. The principle of non-discrimination | 17 |
| D. The principle of participation | 17 |
| 4. Recommendations and good practice statements | 18 |
| A. Child- or adolescent-centred care/first-line support | 18 |
| B. Medical history, physical examination and documentation of findings | 20 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| C. | HIV post-exposure prophylaxis treatment and adherence | 23 |
| D. | Pregnancy prevention and management among girls who have been sexually abused | 28 |
| E. | Post-exposure prophylaxis for curable and vaccine-preventable sexually transmitted infections | 29 |
| F. | Psychological and mental health interventions in the short term and longer term | 33 |
| G. | Ethical principles and human rights standards for reporting child or adolescent sexual abuse | 38 |
| 5. | Implementation considerations | 43 |
| A. | Facilitating timely uptake of services | 43 |
| B. | Creating a supportive and enabling service-delivery environment for health-care providers | 44 |
| 6. | Research implications | 46 |
| A. | Child- or adolescent-centred care/first-line support | 46 |
| B. | Medical history, physical examination and documentation of findings | 46 |
| C. | HIV post-exposure prophylaxis treatment and adherence | 46 |
| D. | Post-exposure prophylaxis for curable and vaccine-preventable sexually transmitted infections | 47 |
| E. | Psychological and mental health interventions in the short term and longer term | 47 |
| F. | Ethical principles and human rights standards for reporting child or adolescent sexual abuse | 47 |
| G. | Implementation considerations | 47 |
| 7. | Dissemination, implementation, monitoring and evaluation | 49 |
| 8. | Updating the guideline | 51 |
| | References | 52 |
| | Annex 1. Guideline contributors | 67 |
| | WHO Steering Group | 67 |
| | Guideline Development Group | 68 |
| | External Review Group | 70 |
| | Annex 2. Implications of the strength of the recommendation | 71 |
| | Annex 3. International and regional human rights treaties and consensus documents containing safeguards that are relevant for child and adolescent sexual abuse | 72 |
| | Annex 4. List of supplementary materials in Web Annexes | 74 |

Acknowledgements

The Department of Reproductive Health and Research (RHR), at the World Health Organization (WHO), has produced this guideline document, under the leadership of Claudia Garcia-Moreno. Avni Amin (responsible technical officer) and Megin Reijnders coordinated the development of this guideline. The WHO Steering Group (Avni Amin, Alexander Butchart, V Chandra-Mouli, Nathan Ford, Mary Lynn Gaffield, Claudia Garcia-Moreno, Rajat Khosla, Berit Kieselbach, Christopher Mikton, Martina Penazzato, Megin Reijnders, David Ross, Chiara Servili, Satvinder Singh, Mark Van Ommeren and Teodora Wi) gratefully acknowledges the contributions of all the Guideline Development Group (GDG) members: Maha Almuneef, Sialondwe Mujimba Derrick, Lina Akinyi Digolo, Asvini Fernando, Maria Herczog, Christopher Hobbs, Virginia Francis Iliff, Elizabeth J Letourneau, Harriet MacMillan (Co-Chair), Bernadette J Madrid (Co-Chair), Ben Mathews, Neil McKerrow, Pratima Mittal, Asha Pemberton-Gaskin and Meggy Verputten. We also acknowledge the guidance of Cicely Marston as the GRADE Methodologist.

RHR also thanks the following individuals, who participated in the GDG meeting as observers: Jessie Gleckel (United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]), Rebecca Gordon (Together for Girls) and Amelia Peltz (United States Agency for International Development [USAID]) and the following external contributors who peer-reviewed the guideline: Christine Amisi, Irene Guat Sim Cheah, Vikas Choudhry, Aaron Miller, Emily Monaghan, Ana Maria Aguirre Monteverde and Richard Roylance.

A special thanks to the systematic review teams: Kerry Scott (Lead), Elena Broaddeus and Sara Beckham (qualitative reviews for the good practices related to first-line support; medical history, examination, documentation, facilitating uptake of services and creating enabling service-delivery environment); Zara Shubber (Lead) and Nathan Ford (HIV PEP adherence systematic review); Philippe Mayaud (Lead) and Helen Kelly (STI prevention and treatment); Jill McTavish (Lead), Donna Fitzpatrick and Ali Usman (psychological interventions); and Ben Mathews (Lead) and Juliet Davis (good practice related to reporting of child sexual abuse).

The guideline was written by Avni Amin, Megin Reijnders and Susanne Carai and reviewed by Claudia Garcia-Moreno.

The WHO Steering Group would like to thank the WHO Guidelines Review Committee Secretariat for their overall support during the guideline development process, with particular thanks to Susan L Norris.

We appreciate the contributions of the following individuals to the development of this guideline: Penny Howes (editor), Sue Hobbs (design and layout), Philippa May (proof reader).

The development of this guideline was funded by: a grant from the United States Government's President's Emergency Plan For AIDS Relief (PEPFAR); and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)/United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA)/United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)/WHO/World Bank Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction (HRP).

Acronyms and abbreviations

| | |
|---------|--|
| ARV | antiretroviral drug |
| CBT | cognitive behavioural therapy |
| CEDAW | Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women |
| CDC | Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (United States of America) |
| COC | combined oral contraceptive |
| CRC | Convention on the Rights of the Child |
| Cu-IUD | copper-bearing intrauterine device |
| ECP | emergency contraceptive pill |
| EMDR | eye movement desensitization and reprocessing |
| ERG | External Review Group |
| GDG | Guideline Development Group |
| GRADE | Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation |
| HIV | human immunodeficiency virus |
| HPV | human papillomavirus |
| IPT | interpersonal psychotherapy |
| LGBTI | lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex |
| LMIC | low- and middle- income country |
| LNG | levonorgestrel |
| mHealth | mobile health |
| mhGAP | WHO Mental Health Gap Action Programme |
| NAAT | nucleic acid amplification test |
| PEP | post-exposure prophylaxis (for HIV) |
| PICO | population, intervention, comparator, outcome |
| PTSD | post-traumatic stress disorder |
| SIT | stress inoculation training |
| STI | sexually transmitted infection |
| TF-CBT | trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy |
| UK | United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland |
| UNFPA | United Nations Population Fund |
| UPA | ulipristal acetate |
| USA | United States of America |
| WHO | World Health Organization |

Glossary

Adolescent: Any person aged between 10 and 19 years, in accordance with United Nations/ World Health Organization definition (1). The definition of an adolescent overlaps with that of a child, below. “Young adolescents” are defined as those aged between 10 and 14 years and “older adolescents” as those aged between 15 and 19 years.

Child: Any person under the age of 18 years, in accordance with the United Nations *Convention on the Rights of the Child* (2). The definition of a child overlaps with that of an adolescent. This guideline uses the terms “child” or “children and adolescent(s)” throughout, but includes adolescents only up to the age of 18 years.

Child sexual abuse: The involvement of a child or an adolescent in sexual activity that he or she does not fully comprehend and is unable to give informed consent to, or for which the child or adolescent is not developmentally prepared and cannot give consent, or that violates the laws or social taboos of society. Children can be sexually abused by both adults and other children who are – by virtue of their age or stage of development – in a position of responsibility or trust or power over the victim. It includes incest which involves abuse by a family member or close relative. Sexual abuse involves the intent to gratify or satisfy the needs of the perpetrator or another third party including that of seeking power over the child (3). Adolescents may also experience sexual abuse at the hands of their peers, including in the context of dating or intimate relationships. Three types of child sexual abuse are often distinguished: (i) non-contact sexual abuse (e.g. threats of sexual abuse, verbal sexual harassment, sexual solicitation, indecent exposure, exposing the child to pornography); (ii) contact sexual abuse involving sexual intercourse (i.e. sexual assault or rape – see below); and (iii) contact sexual abuse excluding sexual intercourse but involving other acts such as inappropriate touching, fondling and kissing. Child sexual abuse is often carried out without physical force, but rather with manipulation (e.g. psychological, emotional or material). It may occur on a frequent basis over weeks or even years, as repeated episodes that become more invasive over time, and it can also occur on a single occasion (4).

Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT): CBT is based on the concept that thoughts, rather than external factors such as people or events, are what dictate one’s feelings and behaviour. People may have unrealistic or distorted thoughts which, if left unchecked, could lead to unhelpful behaviour. CBT typically has a cognitive component (helping the person develop the ability to identify and challenge unrealistic negative thoughts), as well as a behavioural component (aimed at doing things differently; e.g. by helping the person to do more rewarding activities) (5).

Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) with a trauma focus: Cognitive-behavioural interventions with a focus on trauma respond to the idea that people exposed to traumatic event(s) and who have symptoms of acute traumatic stress have unhelpful thoughts and beliefs related to the event and its consequences. These thoughts and beliefs result in unhelpful avoidance of reminders of the event(s) and maintain a sense of current threat. CBT usually involves exposure treatment (e.g. through imagined or in vivo situations) and/or direct challenging of unhelpful thoughts and beliefs related to the trauma. The term “CBT with a trauma focus” is used synonymously with the term “trauma-focused cognitive behavioural

therapy" (TF-CBT) (6, 7). However, in the literature on traumatic stress, the term "trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy" has a more narrow definition for a specific and widely disseminated multi-component CBT protocol for children and adolescents and their families, developed by Cohen and colleagues (8). In this guideline, the term "cognitive behavioural therapy with a trauma focus" is used to include a broad range of cognitive behavioural interventions that address trauma, including Cohen and colleagues' TF-CBT (8) and any type of prolonged exposure treatment.

Consent to clinical care: Informed consent is the voluntary agreement of an individual, who is legally able to give consent for participating in clinical care. The legal age of consent for obtaining clinical care varies across countries and by type of treatment and care. To be able to provide informed consent, the individual must be provided sufficient information (and in language that is appropriate) about all available options and their benefits and consequences, in order to make choices; given an explanation of what will happen to them; informed about their right to refuse any part of the care; and told about what information will be shared and with whom and limits to confidentiality. The individual must also have the capacity to know and understand the nature of care being offered and its benefits and consequences. Parents or legal guardians are typically responsible for giving informed consent until their child or adolescent is legally able to give consent for obtaining relevant clinical care (9). However, in situations where it is in the best interests of the child or adolescent, informed consent should be sought from that child or adolescent.

First-line support: This refers to the minimum level of (primarily psychological) support and validation of their experience that should be received by all children and adolescents who disclose sexual abuse to a health-care (or other) provider. It shares many elements with what is called "psychological first aid" in the context of emergency situations involving traumatic experiences (10).

Gender-sensitive care: This refers to being aware of how differences in power, status, access to and control over resources shape the way in which societies treat women and men or girls and boys unequally and how this inequality shapes differential exposures to abuse or access to resources to protect their health; how the health system treats them; and how societies treat/stigmatize survivors of abuse. It also requires that health-care providers avoid reinforcing these inequalities and promote gender equality (11).

Mandatory reporting: This refers to legislation passed by some countries or states that requires designated individuals, such as health-care providers, teachers or social workers, to report (usually to the child protection agency or the police) known and reasonably suspected cases of specified types of child abuse and neglect, normally including child sexual abuse.

Sexual assault: Use of physical or other force to obtain or attempt sexual penetration. It includes rape, defined as physically forced or otherwise coerced penetration of the vulva, vagina or anus with a penis, other body part, or object. It also includes oral penetration (12). The legal definition of rape may vary and this may have implications for the medico-legal aspects of care and for survivors to be brought to health facilities. The perpetrator can be anyone (i.e. an adult or another child or adolescent, known to the victim or a stranger). Sexual assault including rape of children or adolescents is a specific form of child sexual abuse. The latter has a broader definition involving non-penetrative and non-contact sexual acts (see above).

Executive summary

Sexual abuse, including sexual assault or rape, of children and adolescents is a major global public health problem, a violation of human rights, and has many health consequences in the short and long term. The physical, sexual, reproductive health and mental health consequences of such abuse are wide ranging and need to be addressed. Data from several settings show that children and adolescents are disproportionately represented among the cases of sexual abuse that are brought to the attention of health-care providers.

This guideline provides recommendations aimed primarily at front-line health-care providers (e.g. general practitioners, nurses, paediatricians, gynaecologists) providing care to children, including adolescents up to the age of 18 years, who have, or may have, experienced sexual abuse, including sexual assault or rape. It can also be useful for other cadres of specialist health-care providers who are likely to see children or adolescents. The guideline, while global, is particularly concerned with applicability in health-care settings in low- and middle- income countries, taking into account the more limited health-care resources available. Therefore, the feasibility of implementing the recommendations in low-resource settings was taken into account in the drafting.

This guideline aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for quality clinical care for children and adolescents who have, or may have, been subjected to sexual abuse, in order to mitigate the negative health consequences and improve their well-being. The objectives are to support health-care providers to provide quality, immediate and long-term clinical care and to apply ethical, human-rights-based and trauma-informed good practices in the provision of such care. Where relevant for provision of clinical care and where there is supporting evidence, sex-based differences and gender-based inequalities are flagged.

The guideline was developed according to the standards and requirements specified in the WHO handbook for guideline development, 2nd edition.¹ The process involved (i) identification of critical research questions and outcomes; (ii) retrieval of evidence, including commissioning of systematic reviews; (iii) synthesis of the evidence; (iv) quality assessment, including by a Guideline Development Group (GDG); and (v) formulation of recommendations with the GDG and input from an External Review Group (ERG). No relevant conflicts of interests were identified for the GDG and ERG. The document also includes overarching principles that inform clinical practice and that are derived from ethical and international human rights standards. It includes good practice statements that are based on both the guiding principles and the values and preferences of survivors, their caregivers and health-care providers. The recommendations draw on existing WHO recommendations, as well as new content developed as part of this guideline development process. The guiding principles, recommendations and good practice statements are summarized next.

¹ WHO handbook for guidelines development, 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (<http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s22083en/s22083en.pdf>).

Summary of guiding principles

Based on the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)¹ and other human rights standards, the following overarching principles need to be observed when providing care to children and adolescents who have, or may have, been sexually abused.

GUIDING PRINCIPLES

- Attention to the best interests of children or adolescents by promoting and protecting safety; providing sensitive care; and protecting and promoting privacy and confidentiality.
- Addressing the evolving capacities of children or adolescents by providing information that is appropriate to age; seeking informed consent as appropriate; respecting their autonomy and wishes; and offering choices in the course of their medical care, as appropriate.
- Observing non-discrimination in the provision of care, irrespective of their sex, race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability or socioeconomic status.
- Ensuring the participation of children or adolescents in decisions that have implications for their lives, by soliciting their opinions and taking those into account, and involving them in the design and delivery of care.

Summary of recommendations (R) and good practice statements (GP)

RECOMMENDATIONS AND GOOD PRACTICE STATEMENTS

A. CHILD- OR ADOLESCENT-CENTRED CARE/FIRST-LINE SUPPORT

| | |
|-----|---|
| GP1 | <p>Health-care providers should provide first-line support that is gender sensitive and child or adolescent centred, in response to disclosure of sexual abuse. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● listening respectfully and empathetically to the information that is provided; ● inquiring about the child's or adolescent's worries or concerns and needs, and answering all questions; ● offering a non-judgmental and validating response; ● taking actions to enhance their safety and minimize harms, including those of disclosure and, where possible, the likelihood of the abuse continuing, this includes ensuring visual and auditory privacy; ● providing emotional and practical support by facilitating access to psychosocial services; ● providing age-appropriate information about what will be done to provide them with care, including whether their disclosure of abuse will need to be reported to relevant designated authorities; ● attending to them in a timely way and in accordance with their needs and wishes; ● prioritizing immediate medical needs and first-line support; ● making the environment and manner in which care is being provided appropriate to age, as well as sensitive to the needs of those facing discrimination related to, for example, disability or sexual orientation; ● minimizing the need for the them to go to multiple points of care within the health facility; ● empowering non-offending caregivers with information to understand possible symptoms and behaviours that the child or adolescent may show in the coming days or months and when to seek further help. |
|-----|---|

¹ Convention on the Rights of the Child. New York: United Nations; 1989 (<http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/ProfessionalInterest/crc.pdf>).

B. MEDICAL HISTORY, PHYSICAL EXAMINATION AND DOCUMENTATION OF FINDINGS

| | |
|-----|---|
| GP2 | <p>In line with the principle of “do no harm”, when the medical history is being obtained and, if needed, a forensic interview is being conducted, health-care providers should seek to minimize additional trauma and distress for children and adolescents who disclose sexual abuse. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● minimizing need to repeatedly tell their history; ● interviewing them on their own (i.e. separately from their caregivers), while offering to have another adult present as support; ● building trust and rapport by asking about neutral topics first; ● conducting a comprehensive assessment of their physical and emotional health, in order to facilitate appropriate decisions for conducting examinations and investigations, assessing injuries and providing treatment and/or referrals; ● asking clear, open-ended questions without repetitions; ● using language and terminology that is appropriate to age and non-stigmatizing; ● allowing the child or adolescent to respond in the manner of their choice, including, for example, by writing, drawing or illustrating with models. |
| GP3 | <p>In conducting physical examinations and, where needed, forensic investigations, health-care providers should seek to minimize additional harms, trauma, fear and distress, and respect the autonomy and wishes of children or adolescents. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● maximizing efforts to have them undergo only one examination; ● offering information about the implications of positive or negative findings; ● minimizing delays while conducting the examination in accordance with the child’s or adolescent’s wishes; ● explaining what will be done, prior to each step; ● offering choice in the sex of the examiner, where possible; ● making sure there is another adult present during the examination; ● using age-appropriate visual aids and terms to explain the examination procedures; ● using examination instruments and positions that minimize physical discomfort and psychological distress; ● ensuring collection of forensic evidence is based on the account of the abuse and on what evidence can be collected, stored and analysed; ● not conducting virginity testing (two-finger test or per-vaginal examination), as it increases distress and does not indicate whether or not abuse took place;; ● not routinely using speculums, anosscopes and digital or bimanual examinations of the vagina or rectum of pre-pubertal children, unless medically indicated; if they are used, sedation or general anaesthesia should be considered. |
| GP4 | <p>Health-care providers should accurately and completely document findings of the medical history, physical examination and forensic tests and any other relevant information, for the purposes of appropriate follow-up and supporting survivors in accessing police and legal services, while at the same time protecting confidentiality and minimizing distress for children or adolescents and their caregivers. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● using a structured format for recording the findings; ● recording verbatim statements for accurate and complete documentation; ● noting down discrepancies between the child’s or adolescent’s and the caregivers’ account, if any, without interpretation; ● recording a detailed and accurate description of the symptoms and injuries; ● where no physical evidence is found, noting that absence of physical evidence does not mean that abuse did not occur; ● documenting the child’s or adolescent’s emotional state, while noting that no particular state is indicative of sexual abuse; ● seeking informed consent, as appropriate, for taking any photographs and/or videos, after explaining how they will be used; ● handling all collected information confidentially. |

| C. HIV POST-EXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS TREATMENT AND ADHERENCE | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
| R1 | HIV post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) should be offered, as appropriate, to children and adolescents who have been raped involving oral, vaginal or anal penetration with a penis, and who present within 72 hours of the incident. | Indirect evidence | Strong |
| R2 | A 28-day prescription of antiretroviral drugs (ARVs) should be provided for HIV PEP, following initial risk assessment. | Low | Strong |
| R3 | A triple-therapy regimen (i.e. with three drugs) of ARVs is preferred but a two-drug regimen is also effective. | Very low | Conditional |
| R4 | Adherence counselling should be an important element in the provision of HIV PEP to survivors of sexual assault or rape. | Very low | Strong |
| D. PREGNANCY PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT AMONG GIRLS WHO HAVE BEEN SEXUALLY ABUSED | | | |
| | | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
| R5 | Offer emergency contraception to girls who have been raped involving peno-vaginal penetration and who present within 120 hours (5 days) of the incident. | Moderate | Strong |
| GP5 | If a girl is pregnant as a result of the rape, she should be offered safe abortion to the full extent of the law. | | |
| E. POST-EXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS FOR CURABLE AND VACCINE-PREVENTABLE SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS | | | |
| | | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
| R6 | Presumptive (or prophylactic) treatment for gonorrhoea, chlamydia and syphilis is suggested for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused involving oral, genital or anal contact with a penis, or oral sex, particularly in settings where laboratory testing is not feasible. | Very low, indirect evidence | Conditional |
| R7 | For children and adolescents who have been sexually abused and who present with clinical symptoms, syndromic case management is suggested for vaginal/urethral discharge (gonorrhoea, chlamydia, trichomoniasis), and for genital ulcers (herpes simplex virus, syphilis and chancroid), particularly in settings where laboratory testing is not feasible. | Very low, indirect evidence | Conditional |
| R8 | Hepatitis B vaccination without hepatitis B immunoglobulin should be offered, as per national guidance. | Very low, indirect evidence | Strong |
| R9 | Human papillomavirus vaccination should be offered to girls in the age group 9–14 years, as per national guidance. | Moderate | Strong |

F. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH INTERVENTIONS IN THE SHORT TERM AND LONGER TERM

| | | | |
|-----|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| GP6 | For children and adolescents who have recently been sexually abused, and who experience symptoms of acute traumatic stress (within the first month), health-care providers should offer/continue to offer first-line support that is gender sensitive and child or adolescent centred, as described in Good practice statement 1 . | | |
| | | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
| R10 | Psychological debriefing should not be used in an attempt to reduce the risk of post-traumatic stress, anxiety or depressive symptoms. | Very low | Strong |
| R11 | Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) with a trauma focus should be considered for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused and are experiencing symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). | Very low | Conditional |
| R12 | When safe and appropriate to involve at least one non-offending caregiver, CBT with a trauma focus should be considered for both: (i) children and adolescents who have been sexually abused and are experiencing symptoms of PTSD; and (ii) their non-offending caregiver(s). | Low | Conditional |
| R13 | Psychological interventions, such as CBT, may be offered to children and adolescents with behavioural disorders, and caregiver skills training to their non-offending caregivers. | Low | Conditional |
| R14 | Psychological interventions, such as CBT and interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) may be offered to children and adolescents with emotional disorders, and caregiver skills training to their non-offending caregivers. | Low | Conditional |

G. ETHICAL PRINCIPLES AND HUMAN RIGHTS STANDARDS FOR REPORTING CHILD OR ADOLESCENT SEXUAL ABUSE

| | |
|-----|--|
| GP7 | <p>Whether health-care providers have to comply with a legal or policy requirement, or they are guided by an ethical duty to report known or suspected cases of child or adolescent sexual abuse, they should balance the need to take into account the best interests of that child or adolescent with their evolving capacities to make autonomous decisions. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● assessing the implications of reporting for their health and safety and taking steps to promote their safety; ● protecting their privacy (for example, in dealing with the media); ● promoting their health, by providing immediate medical care and first-line support; ● providing information about the obligation to report and limits of confidentiality; ● documenting the reporting process and maintaining confidentiality of the documented information. <p>Health managers and policy-makers should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● be aware of any legal requirements to report known or suspected cases of abuse; ● facilitate health-care providers to receive training on when and how to report; ● address health-care providers' beliefs and values that can adversely affect their reporting practices; ● establish systems and policies for record-keeping and information sharing that protect confidentiality; ● work with other agencies or institutions, including child protection and police services, in order to coordinate an appropriate response. <p>Actions that are not in line with the principle of evolving capacities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● reporting consensual sexual activity between adolescents, unless that adolescent's safety is at risk; ● informing parents/caregivers where adolescents, depending on their age and maturity, express their preference not to involve their parents/caregivers, unless the adolescent's safety is at risk. |
|-----|--|

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

A. FACILITATING TIMELY UPTAKE OF SERVICES

| | |
|-----|---|
| GP8 | <p>Health-care providers, including those working in communities, should facilitate the timely uptake of services by children and adolescents who have been sexually abused. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● raising public awareness of the signs, symptoms and health consequences of sexual abuse, and the need to seek timely care; ● making available comprehensive and integrated care that reduces the need for visiting multiple points of care; ● publicizing the availability of services, especially to those who are marginalized and have less access; ● reducing stigma related to sexual abuse and improving the acceptability of services; ● advocating with policy-makers and managers to reduce policy-related and practical barriers to accessing care; ● strengthening referrals within and between health services and other sector services (for example, police, child protection and legal services). |
|-----|---|

B. CREATING A SUPPORTIVE AND ENABLING SERVICE-DELIVERY ENVIRONMENT FOR HEALTH-CARE PROVIDERS

| | |
|-----|---|
| GP9 | <p>Health managers and policy-makers should create an enabling service-delivery environment and support health-care providers in carrying out their tasks and responsibilities related to caring for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● making available and prioritizing the provision of high-quality care in health-care settings for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused; ● facilitating ongoing training, supervision and mentoring; ● addressing needs for adequate staffing, infrastructure, supplies and financial resources; ● supporting health-care providers with court appearances on behalf of survivors; ● supporting health-care providers to prevent and cope with burnout and vicarious trauma; ● strengthening referrals and linkages with other support/allied services, to facilitate a multidisciplinary and multisectoral approach to care; ● making available tools or job aids (e.g. protocols or clinical care pathways) to guide the systematic provision of care; ● conducting monitoring and evaluation of care provision. |
|-----|---|

1. Background

A. The magnitude of child and adolescent sexual abuse

Sexual abuse, including sexual assault or rape, of children and adolescents, is a major global public health problem, a violation of human rights, and has many health consequences in the short and long term. A 2011 systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of child sexual abuse around the world places the prevalence among girls at around 20% and among boys at around 8% (13). Another 2013 meta-analysis of the current prevalence of child (<18 years of age) sexual abuse worldwide suggests that around 9% of girls and 3% of boys experience attempted or completed forced intercourse (oral, vaginal, or anal), and 13% of girls and 6% of boys experience some form of contact sexual abuse (14).

Data from surveys of violence against children in nine low- and middle-income countries (LMICs),¹ in which children and youths aged 13–24 years were interviewed, showed that for respondents aged 18–24 years, the prevalence of any form of sexual violence in childhood (0–17 years) ranged from 4.4% to 37.6% among girls in Cambodia and Swaziland respectively (15–17). This prevalence was over 25% for most of the nine countries. For boys, the prevalence of any form of sexual violence in childhood (0–18 years) ranged from 5.6% in Cambodia to 8.9% in Zimbabwe and 21.2% in Haiti (15). The lifetime prevalence of physically forced or pressured/coerced sexual intercourse among girls (0 to 18 years) ranged from a low of 1.5% in Cambodia to a high of 17.5% in Swaziland. For boys, this figure ranged from 0.2% in Cambodia to 7.6% in Haiti (15). A 2014 study based on three national telephone surveys of youths (aged 15–17 years) from the United States of America (USA) found that 26.6% of girls and 5.1% of boys had experienced sexual abuse and sexual assault by the time they were 17 years old (18).

Adolescents who are part of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex (LGBTI) population may be disproportionately vulnerable to sexual abuse because of discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity. However, this is an emerging area of research, particularly in LMICs. Hence, there is very limited evidence on the magnitude or consequences of sexual abuse in this specific sub-group.

B. Health consequences of child and adolescent sexual abuse

According to a 2009 systematic review, the health outcomes associated with child and adolescent sexual abuse can be physical, including effects on sexual and reproductive health, behaviour and mental health (19). The physical health consequences include injuries and gastrointestinal disorders (20). For girls, the sexual and reproductive health consequences of sexual abuse include the risk of pregnancy, gynaecological disorders such as chronic non-cyclical pelvic pain, menstrual irregularities, dysmenorrhoea, genital infections and sexually transmitted infections (STIs), including HIV (20–23). Several studies have found that boys and girls who have experienced sexual abuse are more likely to engage in risk-taking behaviours, including sexual risk taking and abuse of alcohol and drugs later in life, leading to negative health outcomes in adulthood (24–27).

¹ Cambodia, Haiti, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Kenya, Malawi, Swaziland, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

Child sexual abuse has short- and long-term mental health consequences, including lifetime diagnosis of post-traumatic stress, anxiety, depression, externalizing symptoms, eating disorders, problems with relationships, sleep disorders and suicidal and self-harm ideation and behaviours (19, 28). A study of adult women who were exposed to sexual abuse as children found that those who had been abused on multiple occasions over a longer period of time had higher levels of mental health symptoms as compared to those who were abused at only one point in their life (29). Another study of adult women (18–64 years) in the USA with histories of both physical and sexual abuse in childhood found higher annual health-care use (for mental health, primary care and pharmacy services) and costs (36% higher for history of physical and sexual abuse and 16% higher for only history of sexual abuse in childhood) many years after the abuse (30). The health effects of child sexual abuse may be exacerbated where the perpetrator has a close or influential relationship with the child, and where the abuse is of greater severity, duration and frequency (31–33).

C. Use of health services by children and adolescents who have experienced sexual abuse

Children and adolescents who have been sexually abused may come to the attention of a health-care provider through a variety of ways (4). They may have a routine physical examination or a related or unrelated medical illness or complaint. Alternatively, they may be brought in by a caregiver who suspects sexual abuse, or by someone from an official institution, for a medical evaluation for the purpose of an investigation. However, data show that very few children who experience sexual abuse seek or receive any type of services. For example, the surveys of violence against children mentioned above showed that in most of the nine countries where survey results are available, less than 10% of survivors of sexual abuse received any services, whether it be health, or legal/police services, or counselling support (15). Compared to the other countries surveyed, Swaziland¹ had the largest proportion of child survivors of sexual abuse who had received any service, at 24% (15). In Kenya, 2% of boys and 6.8% of girls (18–24 years) who had experienced sexual abuse sought services prior to reaching the age of 18 years. However, only 0.4% of the boys and 3.4% of the girls actually received care. Moreover, 31% of the girls who had experienced abuse indicated that they would have wanted some or additional health-care services for the sexual violence they had experienced (34). In Haiti, 10% of girls and 6.6% of boys who had experienced sexual abuse received services, most of which were medical services (i.e. 8.8% of girls and 5% of boys) (35).

A study done in the USA in 2008 showed that in 19% of the cases of child sexual abuse perpetrated by a non-specified adult, medical authorities were informed, whereas this figure was 7.4% when the perpetrator was a known adult (36). These data highlight that there is a need to: (i) work with communities to improve timely care seeking by survivors of abuse and their caregivers; (ii) raise awareness of health-care providers about child sexual abuse and its health consequences and how to recognize it; (iii) improve the response by health-care providers towards those children and adolescents who seek services; and (iv) improve coordination and timely referrals between other services or authorities where children and adolescents who are sexually abused are identified or taken to, and health services.

D. Objectives of and rationale for this guideline

This guideline aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for quality clinical care for children and adolescents who have, or may have, been subjected to sexual abuse, in order to mitigate the negative health consequences and improve their well-being. It responds

¹ In Swaziland, the survey was only conducted with female respondents.

to an important public health and human rights concern, addresses the gaps in the health response to sexual abuse of children and adolescents, and aims to contribute to improved child health and adolescent sexual and reproductive health and mental health.

Specific objectives

The objectives are to support health-care providers to:

1. provide quality, immediate and long-term clinical care;
2. apply ethical, human-rights-based and trauma-informed good practices¹ in the provision of clinical care.

Why this guideline was developed

Sexual abuse of children and adolescents is a significant public health problem and a violation of fundamental human rights including the right to life, right to protection from all forms of violence and the right to enjoy the highest attainable standard of health. The physical, including sexual and reproductive health, and mental health consequences of such abuse are wide ranging and need to be addressed. Data from several settings show that children and adolescents are disproportionately represented among the cases of sexual assault that are brought to the attention of health-care providers (37, 38). As the data above highlight, survivors of sexual abuse often do not receive health services. Health-care providers need to be prepared to respond appropriately to sexual abuse among children and adolescents, in order to mitigate its health and other impacts and enhance these individuals' health and well-being.

For similar reasons, in 2013, the World Health Organization (WHO) published the first clinical and policy guidelines for the health sector to respond to intimate partner violence and sexual violence against women that provide recommendations on identification and clinical care of adult women suffering from partner violence and sexual violence, as well as on training providers and delivering and managing services (39). These have been widely disseminated in countries and, in the process of doing so, WHO was requested to also provide specific guidance for addressing sexual abuse among children and adolescents.

E. Target audience

This guideline provides recommendations aimed primarily at front-line health-care providers (e.g. general practitioners, nurses, midwives, gynaecologists, paediatricians) to provide high-quality, evidence-based clinical care for children and adolescents who have, or may have, experienced sexual abuse, including sexual assault or rape. These include those working within sexual and reproductive health, HIV and mental health programmes and services. However, the guideline can also be useful for other service providers (e.g. social workers, providers of psychosocial support), including specialists who are likely to see children or adolescents. The guideline also includes good practice considerations to address ethical and human rights issues, as well as implementation considerations aimed at policy-makers and managers, to enable and support provision of clinical care to children and adolescents who have, or may have, been sexually abused. The guideline, while global, is particularly concerned with applicability in health-care settings in LMICs, taking into account the more limited resources available. Therefore, the feasibility of implementing the recommendations in low-resource settings was taken into account.

¹ Refers to care and treatment that responds to the effects of trauma; emphasizes physical, psychological and emotional safety; and helps survivors rebuild a sense of control and empowerment.

F. Scope of the guideline

The scope of this guideline includes the following:

- **Population:** all children, including adolescents, between the ages of 0 and 18 years who have, or may have, been sexually abused. The guideline takes into account disproportionate vulnerability of children and adolescents who may face discrimination.
- **Interventions:** all relevant interventions for the provision of quality clinical care, including mental health care and immediate/short-term and long-term care. It also covers good practices to minimize harms and trauma in the process of medical history taking, physical examination and documentation, and ethical and safety considerations for reporting to the appropriate authorities. It flags implementation considerations related to the uptake of services, training of health-care providers and creating a supportive or enabling environment to facilitate provision of care. All of these are framed by ethical and human-rights-based guiding principles.
- **Interventions addressed in other guidelines:** recommendations related to identification of sexual abuse of children or adolescents will be part of a complementary guideline on clinical response to other forms of child maltreatment that will be published by WHO in 2018.¹
- **Outcomes:** primary outcomes are related to improved child and adolescent health and well-being, including prevention of long-term disability and improved functioning. Secondary outcomes are related to the uptake of services by survivors and to the well-being of the non-offending caregivers.

The scope of this guideline excludes the following:

- **Populations:** children and adolescents who have been subjected to other forms of child maltreatment such as physical or emotional abuse or neglect, in the absence of sexual abuse; female genital mutilation; human trafficking; and reproductive coercion (e.g. tampering with contraception) in absence of sexual abuse.
- **Interventions:** non-clinical interventions – for example, pertaining to provision of allied care and services for children and adolescents subjected to sexual abuse outside of health services (e.g. child protection, legal or social services). This guideline does not include policy recommendations related to service-delivery models or training approaches. Perpetrator interventions will be addressed in the complementary guideline on other forms of child maltreatment that will be published in 2018 (see footnote 1), and are therefore not addressed here.

¹ The complementary guideline is under development by the WHO Department for Management of Noncommunicable Diseases, Disability, Violence and Injury Prevention and at a later date will be combined with this guideline.

2. Methods

This guideline was developed according to requirements specified in the WHO handbook for guideline development, second edition (40). The process involved: (i) identification of critical research questions and outcomes; (ii) retrieval of evidence, including commissioning of systematic reviews; (iii) synthesis of the evidence; (iv) quality assessment including by a Guideline Development Group (GDG); and (v) formulation of recommendations with the GDG and input from peer-reviewers.

A. Guideline contributors

The guideline development process was guided by three main groups (see **Annex 1** for names and designation):

- a. a Steering Group comprising a core group of WHO staff members from relevant departments. A methodologist advised the Steering Group in reviewing the protocols and the grading of recommendations, assessment, development and evaluation (GRADE) tables (41) produced by the systematic reviews teams;
- b. the Guideline Development Group (GDG), comprising 15 external (non-WHO) international stakeholders, including content experts, clinical experts and researchers in the field of sexual violence against children, who advised on the scope of the guideline and assisted in formulating the recommendations; agencies and United Nations partners that are likely to implement the guideline were invited as observers;
- c. an External Review Group (ERG) of relevant international stakeholders who peer-reviewed the final document for clarity and accuracy, and advised on contextual issues and implications for implementation.

B. Declaration of interests by external contributors

All GDG members and other external contributors were required to complete a standard WHO declaration-of-interest form before engaging in the guideline development process, including participating in the guideline meetings. The WHO steering group reviewed all the declaration-of-interest forms and assessed any conflict of interest, before inviting experts to participate in the development of the guideline in accordance with the WHO guidelines for declaration of interest (42) and the requirements of the WHO Office of Compliance, Risk Management and Ethics (CRE). In addition, in accordance with the regulations for transparency, short biographies of all the GDG members were posted on the WHO website for 2 weeks before inviting them to participate. None of the meeting participants declared a conflict of interest that was considered sufficiently significant, to pose any risk to the guideline development process or to reduce its credibility (40). Observers participated in the second GDG meeting when evidence was presented and recommendations were developed. They provided inputs upon request, but were not involved in developing the recommendations. A summary of the declaration-of-interest statements and how conflicts of interest were managed is included in Web Annex 1. No significant conflicts of interest were identified by the WHO steering group that required additional review by the WHO CRE.

C. Identification of priority research questions and outcomes – scoping exercise

The scope of the guideline was developed by the WHO Steering Group, based on the review of the available literature, including relevant WHO and other guidelines. The first GDG meeting was held in February 2016, to present and review the scope of the guideline and agree on the priority research questions, using the population, intervention, comparator, outcome (PICO) format. These questions respond to (i) pharmacological interventions for provision of immediate clinical care; and (ii) psychological interventions in the short and long term (the list of priority questions agreed by the GDG is available upon request).

Following the first GDG meeting, PICO questions for the critical and important outcomes for the psychological interventions¹ were ranked by the GDG through an online survey. The GDG was asked to rate the importance of the outcomes for psychological interventions on a scale of 1 to 9. Those outcomes that had an average rating of between 7 and 9 were ranked as “critical” and those with a score between 4 and 6 were considered “important”, but not “critical”.² The GDG agreed that for the following topics, existing WHO guidelines and recommendations were applicable to the population for this guideline. This includes (i) pregnancy prevention and management; (ii) vaccines to prevent STIs; (iii) HIV prevention – offer of post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP), dosage and regimen; and (iv) some mental health interventions. The decision to use existing WHO recommendations for these topics was based on the fact that these recommendations were based on recent systematic reviews and/or are not likely to be different for the population of interest for this guideline. A total of four new priority (i.e. PICO) questions were agreed for developing recommendations.

D. Values and preferences to inform good practices and guiding principles

Additionally, two literature reviews of both qualitative and quantitative studies were conducted to identify (i) the values and preferences of survivors, their caregivers and health-care providers; and (ii) practices and preferences related to reporting of sexual abuse to relevant designated authorities. These literature reviews, together with international standards related to human rights, ethics and gender equality, informed the development of: (i) guiding principles; (ii) good practice statements for delivery of clinical care, reporting abuse and implementation considerations; and (iii) remarks formulated for implementing the recommendations. The topics identified for informing good practices and guiding principles included: (i) first-line support to disclosure of sexual abuse; (ii) medical history, physical examination and documentation practices to minimize harms and trauma; (iii) ethical and human rights standards for reporting abuse; (iv) facilitating timely uptake of services; and (v) creating supportive and enabling service-delivery environment for health-care providers to fulfil their responsibilities in provision of care. These topics were considered for good practice statements, based on the premise that actions deriving from ethical and human rights standards cannot be subjected to experimental methods. Additionally, where actions were based on sound practical judgement, including public health and medical practice, and/or carried little to no risk of harm to health or safety, these were also incorporated into the good practice statements.

¹ For all the PICO questions related to pharmacological interventions, there were fewer than three outcomes. Hence, a rating of critical and important outcomes was not undertaken.

² The list of questions for the online survey and the average scores for the outcomes are available upon request.

E. Evidence retrieval

A systematic and comprehensive retrieval of evidence was conducted to identify published studies concerning the PICO questions prioritized through the scoping exercise. Systematic reviews were identified for three out of the four priority questions agreed by the GDG for which new recommendations had to be developed. However, these were older than 2 years prior to the development of this guideline. Hence, an update of previous systematic reviews was undertaken. The systematic reviews were commissioned to external groups (see **Annex 1** for names). Protocols were prepared for each systematic review, including the updates of previous ones. The protocols included the PICO question and the criteria for identification of studies, including search strategies (with support from the WHO librarian), methods for assessing the risk of bias and a plan for data analysis. The Steering Group and the guideline methodologist reviewed and endorsed the protocols.

To identify relevant studies, systematic searches of several electronic databases were conducted, including Pubmed, Ovid, Medline, CINAHL (EBSCOhost), Web of Science, SCOPUS, African Index Medicus, LILACS, PsycINFO (EBSCOhost), POPLINE, WHOLIS via LILACS, ERIC (EBSCOhost), NYAM Library, ClinicalTrials.gov and African Journals Online. The search strategies employed to identify the studies, and the specific criteria for inclusion and exclusion of studies, were reported using the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines and flow diagram (43). These are described in the full reports of the individual systematic reviews. Searches were also conducted in French, Spanish, Portuguese and Mandarin. For the questions related to the guiding principles and good practices, additional literature reviews were conducted using similar databases to those mentioned above. The full reports of the systematic reviews and the literature reviews for the good practices, including their search strategies, are available as Web Annexes 2–7.

F. Quality assessment, synthesis and grading of the evidence

The systematic reviewers performed quality assessments of the body of evidence using, where appropriate, the GRADE methodology (41). Following this approach, the quality of evidence for each outcome was rated as “high”, “moderate”, “low” or “very low”, based on the following set of pre-established criteria: (i) risk of bias, based on the limitations in the study design and execution; (ii) inconsistency of the results; (iii) indirectness; (iv) imprecision; and (v) publication bias (40). In some cases, the strength of evidence is labelled as “indirect evidence”, when no direct evidence was identified for the population of interest or outcome, or there are no studies directly comparing the intervention and comparator. However, these studies, which were mainly observational studies were also subject to quality assessments based on risk of bias assessment. Therefore, the decision for making the recommendation is derived from indirect comparisons of the intervention and comparator, or the data are extrapolated from other appropriate populations or based on intermediate outcomes. GRADE evidence profile tables (or “summary of findings”) were developed for each priority PICO question for which evidence was available. The GRADE tables include quality assessments based on the above-mentioned criteria for rating each outcome as high, moderate, low or very low. GRADE tables are available as Web Annexes 3b, 5b and 6b.¹

G. Formulation of recommendations

Prior to the second GDG meeting (December 2016), the Steering Group formulated an initial draft statement for each priority question, which served as a basis for discussion. During the meeting, the evidence summaries, GRADE tables and draft statements were presented to the

¹ No direct evidence was available to construct a GRADE table for one of the priority PICO questions.

GDG. The GDG systematically reviewed and discussed (i) the evidence (contained in GRADE tables – Web Annexes 3b, 5b and 6b); and (ii) each draft statement, using an established set of criteria (see Box 1) elaborated in evidence-to-decision tables (see Web Annexes 3a–6a).

BOX 1. FACTORS CONSIDERED IN FORMULATING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

- Priority of the addressed health problem
- Values and preferences of children and adolescents who have been abused, and of health-care providers
- Balance between benefits and harms
- Quality of the available evidence
- Resource implications
- Cost-effectiveness
- Equity and human rights issues
- Acceptability of the proposed intervention
- Feasibility of the proposed intervention

Each recommendation contained in this guideline encompasses a direction (in favour or against) and a rating of the degree of strength (see **Annex 2** for the implications of the rating). The following categories were used to establish the rating of the strength of a recommendation (40):

- *strong recommendation* means there is confidence that the desirable effects of adherence to a recommendation outweigh the undesirable effects;
- *conditional recommendation* means that the desirable effects of adherence to a recommendation probably outweigh the undesirable effects, but there is not full confidence of that conclusion.

At the second meeting of the GDG in December 2016, the final recommendations for or against an intervention and its strength were decided by consensus. For each recommendation, based on iterative discussions, consensus was reached when there was unanimous agreement or no disagreement voiced. No major disagreements occurred that had to be resolved or put to vote.

H. Document preparation and peer-review

Following, the second GDG meeting (December 2016), a report was prepared. The WHO Steering Group drafted the guideline containing all the recommendations (new and existing), good practice statements and guiding principles. The full draft of the guideline was sent electronically to all the GDG members for further inputs, and thereafter to the ERG for peer-review. Seven peer-reviewers from different WHO regions with expertise in paediatric research or clinical practice, or representing organizations providing services to children and adolescents affected by sexual abuse, were selected after reviewing their declarations of interest (see **Annex 1** for names). The inputs from the ERG were limited to correcting factual errors, improving language clarity and providing contextual information. No major disagreements arose during the process of review and no modifications were made to the direction, strength or content of the recommendations.

3. Guiding principles derived from ethical principles and human rights standards

Clinical care for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused should be guided by obligations to protect, prevent and respond to all forms of violence against children and adolescents. These obligations are specified in international human rights standards (for a listing of the relevant instruments, see [Annex 3](#)). Several human rights instruments that many governments have signed up to, including the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) (2), and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) (44) recognize the rights of children and adolescents, as well as the responsibilities of the duty bearers in protecting and promoting these rights. These human rights standards specify the responsibilities of duty bearers (i.e. health-care providers and health-care institutions) to take all appropriate measures to protect the child or adolescent from all forms of violence and abuse. They confer rights to protection,¹ privacy,² participation³ and health,⁴ including access to care and information for children and adolescents. Health-care providers need to be aware of these standards and how they translate in relevant national laws, and apply them as guiding principles in providing care to children and adolescents who have been sexually abused. The overarching guiding principles derived from the key international human rights standards are listed next.

A. The principle of best interests of the child or adolescent

In practice, this requires that duty bearers take the actions listed next.

- **Protect and promote safety:** promoting and protecting the physical and emotional safety of the child or adolescent must be the primary consideration throughout the course of care. This means that, with the participation from the child and adolescent and their non-offending caregivers, as appropriate, health-care providers need to consider all potential harms and take or choose actions that will minimize the negative consequences on the child or adolescent, including the likelihood of the abuse continuing.
- **Provide sensitive care:** children and adolescents who disclose sexual abuse need to be listened to attentively, without interpreting or judging their account, even when it might differ from that of the accompanying caregivers. Children and adolescents should be offered an empathetic and non-judgemental response that reassures them that they are not to blame for the abuse and that they have acted appropriately in disclosing it.
- **Protect and promote privacy and confidentiality:** protecting privacy during care and confidential handling of all collected information is of particular importance to promote the safety of the child or adolescent. This means that during consultation and examination, only those who need to be present in the room (including to ensure the safety of the child or adolescent) should be allowed. For safety reasons, children and adolescents should be interviewed on their own, separately from the caregiver (see [Good practice statement 2](#)). Information collected from interviews and examination should

¹ CRC, Articles 19 and 34.

² CEDAW Committee, General recommendation no. 24.

³ CRC, Article 12.

⁴ CRC, Articles 24 and 39.

be shared on a need-to-know basis and only after obtaining informed consent from the child or adolescent and/or caregivers, as appropriate. In cases where there are limits to confidentiality, including any obligations to report incidents, these should be explained to children/adolescents and their caregivers at the beginning of care provision. Collected information should be stored securely (e.g. protected by key or password).

B. The principle of evolving capacities of the child or adolescent

The capacity¹ of children and adolescents to understand information about the nature of the clinical care they will receive and its benefits and consequences, and to make voluntary and informed choices or decisions, evolves with their age and developmental stage. The evolving capacities of the child or adolescent will have a bearing on their independent decision-making on health issues. Health-care institutions need to have policies that support the ability of children and adolescents to make decisions on their medical care in accordance with this principle.² In practice, health-care providers and health-care institutions should consider the actions listed next.

- **Provide information that is appropriate to age** as well as to other considerations (e.g. sex, race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability and socioeconomic status). This requires tailoring the information that is offered and how it is delivered (e.g. in choice of words or language, use of visual aids) to the child's or adolescent's age and developmental stage, including their cognitive, behavioural and emotional maturity to understand the information.
- **Seek informed consent as appropriate** to the child's or adolescent's age and evolving capacity and the legal age of consent for obtaining clinical care for all decisions and actions to be taken. Where the child or adolescent is below the legal age of consent, it may still be in their best interests to seek informed consent. For example, in some situations, adolescents may be deterred from seeking care where consent is required from their parents or legal guardians. Recognizing this, in some settings, older adolescents are able to provide informed consent in lieu of, or in addition to, their parents or legal guardians. Moreover, the CRC recognizes that, in accordance with evolving capacities, children have the right to access confidential counselling or advice and information without the consent of their parents or legal guardians.³ In situations where it is assessed to be in the best interests of the adolescents who are in need of care, and based on their preferences, health-care providers may consider whether to involve the parents or legal guardians.
- **Respect the autonomy** and wishes of children or adolescents (e.g. not forcing them to give information or be examined) while balancing this with the need to protect their best interests (e.g. protect and promote their safety). In cases where a child's or adolescent's wishes cannot be prioritized, the reasons should be explained to the child or adolescent before further steps are taken.
- **Offer choices** in the course of the medical care, as appropriate.

¹ Refers to their cognitive, behavioural and emotional maturity and ability.

² CRC Committee, General comment no. 15, para 21.

³ CRC Committee, General comment no. 20, para 39.

C. The principle of non-discrimination

This principle requires that all children and adolescents should be offered quality care, irrespective of their sex, race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability or socioeconomic status. Health-care providers need to recognize and take into account gender and other social inequalities that can disproportionately increase vulnerabilities to sexual abuse and pose barriers in access to services for some groups over others. Therefore, attention should be paid to the specific needs of groups in special or vulnerable situations – for example, adolescent girls from poor communities, children or adolescents with disabilities, LGBTI adolescents, or adolescents that are part of ethnic minorities and indigenous groups.

D. The principle of participation

Children and adolescents have a right to participate¹ in decisions that have implications for their lives, in accordance with their evolving capacities. In practice this means they should be asked what they think and have their opinions respected and taken into account when decisions are being made in relation to clinical care being offered to them. Moreover, young people generally want to be consulted and engaged and to meaningfully participate in the design and delivery of health services that affect them (45).

¹ CRC, Article 12, General comment no. 15, para 21 and General comment no. 20, para 39.

4. Recommendations and good practice statements

This section presents recommendations and good practice statements drawing on both the new content developed as part of this guidelines development process, as well as existing WHO recommendations and good practice statements that are applicable for the provision of clinical care to children and adolescents who have been sexually abused. Evidence summaries and evidence-to-recommendation justifications are presented only for those recommendations and good practice statements that are new or updated and were developed for consideration by the GDG for this guideline. For existing WHO recommendations or statements, the reader is directed to the source or original WHO guideline for those details. The original rating for quality of evidence and strength of recommendation from the source WHO guideline are maintained for existing recommendations. Accompanying remarks for existing recommendations are also based on the original source WHO guideline. However, where relevant, specific remarks pertaining to the population of interest have been added. This section has been structured in the order of the flow in which clinical care needs to be offered to children or adolescents who have been sexually abused. The guiding principles related to human rights, equity and gender equality are integrated either into the wording of the recommendation or good practice statement and/or reflected in the accompanying remarks.

A. Child- or adolescent-centred care/first-line support

Children or adolescents who have experienced, or are experiencing, sexual abuse may be traumatized and suffer a range of negative consequences on their physical and mental health that require immediate and long-term care and support. They may experience barriers in seeking and accessing care that include, for example, fear of disclosing their experience of abuse to an adult caregiver (especially if the perpetrator is a family member or known to the family), owing to the stigma and feelings of shame. Caregivers may not know about the signs, symptoms or consequences of abuse or where services are available. In addition, policies may require caregivers to report to the police before obtaining health care. There are a minimum set of actions, including provision of first-line support, that should guide the health-care response to children or adolescents who have, or may have, experienced sexual abuse.

GP1: GOOD PRACTICE STATEMENT 1

Health-care providers should provide first-line support that is gender sensitive and child or adolescent centred, in response to disclosure of sexual abuse. Offering first-line support includes the following:

- listening respectfully and empathetically to the information that is provided by the child or adolescent and his or her caregivers;
- inquiring about the child's or adolescent's worries or concerns and needs, and answering all questions; if abuse is disclosed, providing reassurance of efforts to minimize any harms;

- offering a non-judgemental and validating response – reassuring the child or adolescent that they are not to blame for the abuse and that they have acted appropriately in disclosing it;
- taking actions to enhance the child’s or adolescent’s safety and minimize harms, including those of disclosure and the likelihood of the abuse continuing, where possible; this includes ensuring visual and auditory privacy;
- providing emotional and practical support by facilitating access to psychosocial support for the child or adolescent and their non-offending caregivers; such support can include referrals to counselling, social services, including child protection services, police and legal services;
- providing age-appropriate information and explanation to children and adolescents and their non-offending caregivers, about what investigations will be conducted, what treatments will be offered to them, and whether the abuse will need to be reported to relevant designated authorities;
- attending to them in a timely way and in accordance with the child’s or adolescent’s needs and wishes (e.g. triaging where necessary to avoid long waiting times, but without rushing them);
- prioritizing immediate medical needs and first-line support;
- making the environment and manner in which care is being provided appropriate to age, as well as sensitive to the needs of those facing discrimination;
- minimizing the need for the child or adolescent to go to multiple points of care for treatment within the health facility, and ensuring that they are accompanied by the caregiver or an adult within the health facility;
- empowering non-offending caregivers with information to understand possible symptoms and/or behaviours that the child or adolescent may show in the coming days and months and when to seek further help.

Evidence summary

The actions for provision of first-line support are derived from (i) the WHO guidance on psychological first aid (10, 39); (ii) the guiding principles articulated in section 3; and (iii) the literature review of the values and preferences of children, adolescents and their caregivers. The literature review aimed at answering the question: “*what are the guiding principles for provision of child- or adolescent-centred and gender-sensitive first-line support?*”. It focused on studies that provided insights into the values and preferences of children or adolescents, their caregivers and health-care providers, to receiving an initial response to a confirmed or suspected case of sexual abuse.

A total of 22 studies were included, with a majority ($n = 16$) from high-income countries and six from LMICs (46–67). The common themes identified across the studies as being of importance to survivors, caregivers and/or health-care providers included: providing information, explanation and timely care; respecting confidentiality and privacy; respecting the autonomy and wishes of the child or adolescent; receiving a non-judgemental and validating response that communicated belief in the survivor’s account; acting in the best interests of the child or adolescent; careful and respectful listening of survivors’ and caregivers’ accounts; prioritizing

assessment of medical and psychological needs and care; and a child- or adolescent-friendly environment. In addition to these themes, the GDG highlighted the need to also engage the parents/caregivers in the provision of treatment/care to the child, but with the caveat that this should only include “non-offending” caregivers (see Web Annex 2 for the full report).

B. Medical history, physical examination and documentation of findings

GP2: GOOD PRACTICE STATEMENT 2

In line with the principle of “do no harm”, when the medical history is being obtained and, if needed, a forensic interview is being conducted, health-care providers should seek to minimize additional trauma and distress for children and adolescents who disclose sexual abuse (4, 68). These actions include the following:

- minimizing the need for the child or adolescent to repeatedly tell their history of sexual abuse, as it can be re-traumatizing;
- for reasons of confidentiality and safety, interviewing the child or adolescent on their own (i.e. separately from their caregivers), while offering to have another adult present as support;
- building trust and rapport by asking about neutral topics before delving into direct questions about the abuse;
- conducting a comprehensive assessment of their physical and emotional health; this is critical, as the child or adolescent’s account of what happened to them provides important information to facilitate appropriate decisions for conducting examinations and investigations, assessing injuries and providing treatment and/or referrals;
- asking clear, open-ended questions without repetitions; in some settings, while there may be requirements to document some information for reporting the abuse, it is important not to insist that the child or adolescent answers or discloses information that may cause them trauma or compromise their safety;
- using language and terminology that is appropriate to age and non-stigmatizing,¹ and training interpreters where needed;
- allowing the child or adolescent to answer questions and describe what happened to them in a manner of their choice, including, for example, by writing, drawing or illustrating with models.

Evidence summary

A systematic review of the literature was conducted that sought to answer the question “*what are child- or adolescent-centred, gender-sensitive and trauma-informed practices to obtain medical history and conduct forensic interviewing?*”. This review resulted in the inclusion of 19 studies (46, 47, 50, 59, 69–83). The common themes that emerged from these studies for the respondents were: building rapport; using a supportive and non-judgemental approach; asking clear and open-ended questions while avoiding repetition; using appropriate terms and language; training interpreters if they are used; giving children or adolescents a choice in how to answer the questions during the interview; and providing a child- and adolescent-friendly environment. In addition to these themes, the GDG also added specific suggestions

¹ Stigmatizing words and labels will vary by setting. However, health-care providers should avoid words that imply that the survivor is to blame for the violence.

related to communicating with subgroups of children, such as those with disabilities (see Web Annex 2 for the full report).

GP3: GOOD PRACTICE STATEMENT 3

In conducting physical examinations and, where needed, forensic investigations, health-care providers should seek to minimize additional harms, trauma, fear and distress, and respect the autonomy and wishes of children or adolescents. These actions include the following:

- maximizing efforts to have the child or adolescent undergo only one examination, in order to minimize the trauma;
- offering information about the implications of positive or negative findings of the physical examination and forensic investigations;
- minimizing delays while conducting the examination in accordance with the child's or adolescent's wishes (for example, not rushing them);
- during the examination, explaining what will be done prior to each step;
- offering choice in the sex of the examiner, where possible;
- as is standard practice, making sure that there is another adult present during the examination;
- using age-appropriate visual aids and terms to explain the examination procedures;
- using examination instruments and positions that minimize physical discomfort and/or psychological distress;
- collecting forensic evidence in a way that is based on the account of the sexual abuse and on what evidence can be collected, stored and analysed; it should be done with informed consent from the child or adolescent and non-offending caregivers, as appropriate (4, 68).

Actions that are medically unnecessary or are likely to increase harms or distress for the child or adolescent and, hence, are not to be undertaken, are as follows:

- carrying out the so called "virginity test" (also known as the "two-finger test" or per-vaginal exam). It has no scientific validity (i.e. does not provide evidence of whether or not a sexual assault took place), increases distress and harms to those examined and is a violation of their human rights (84);
- speculums or anosscopes and digital or bimanual examinations of the vagina or rectum of a pre-pubertal child are not routinely required, unless medically indicated; if a speculum examination is needed, sedation or general anaesthesia should be considered (68).

Evidence summary

A systematic review of the literature identified 26 studies, mostly from high-income countries ($n = 23$), that addressed the values and preferences of survivors of sexual abuse, caregivers and health-care providers (46, 47, 50, 52, 53, 57, 67, 85–103). These studies sought to answer the question: "What are good clinical practices for conducting a physical examination and forensic investigation, in order to minimize harms/trauma to the child or adolescent who has, or may have, been exposed to sexual abuse?". The review identified additional papers highlighting

recommendations of clinical experts for good clinical practice for this population. The common themes that emerged were: the need to conduct a comprehensive assessment of the child or adolescent's physical and emotional well-being and health-care needs; conducting timely examinations; minimizing patients' and caregivers' fear and distress; respecting patient autonomy; and using examination instruments and positions that minimize discomfort. Very limited evidence was found in the studies on comfort level of adolescents with respect to the use of a speculum for the examination. However, clinical experts do not generally recommend the use of speculums for pre-pubertal children (see Web Annex 2 for the full report). In addition, the GDG highlighted the importance of balancing the need for timely examinations with not rushing children and adolescents who are not prepared to undergo the examination right away. They also suggested that this guideline flag practices, such as the "two-finger test" or the so-called "virginity test", that are medically unnecessary and traumatic for children and adolescents. A WHO-supported systematic review has documented the lack of medical utility of virginity testing and the potential physical, psychological and social harms of this practice (84).

GP4: GOOD PRACTICES STATEMENT 4

Health-care providers should accurately and completely document the findings of the medical history, physical examination and forensic tests, and any other relevant information, for the purposes of appropriate follow-up and supporting survivors in accessing police and legal services, while at the same time protecting confidentiality and minimizing distress for children or adolescents and their caregivers. These actions include the following:

- using a structured format for recording the findings;
- recording verbatim statements of the child or adolescent and the non-offending caregivers, when applicable, for accurate and complete documentation of disclosures of abuse;
- noting down discrepancies between the child's or adolescent's and the caregivers' account, if any, without interpretation;
- recording a detailed and accurate description of the child's or adolescent's symptoms and injuries;
- where no physical evidence is found, noting that absence of physical evidence does not mean that abuse did not occur;
- documenting the child's or adolescent's emotional state, while noting that no particular state is indicative of sexual abuse;
- seeking informed consent, as appropriate, for taking any photographs and/or videos, after explaining how they will be used;
- handling all collected information confidentially (for example, sharing information only after obtaining permission from the child or adolescent and caregiver and only on a need-to-know basis, in order to provide care; storing the information securely in a locked cupboard or a password-protected file; anonymizing identifying information; and not disclosing any identifying information about a specific case to those who do not need to know, and especially not to the media).

Evidence summary

The systematic review of the literature identified 12 studies that responded to the question “*what are the good practices for documentation of the medical history and findings of physical and forensic examination?*” (47, 51, 52, 59, 61, 92, 104–109). Only two studies came from LMICs. The respondents varied across studies, from health-care providers to survivors. A couple of studies included a review of patient charts to identify documentation practices. The key themes that emerged as being of relevance to the respondents included: the need to use a structured format for recording findings; requesting permission from survivors before taking pictures to document forensic evidence, and explaining how these will be used; recording the child’s or adolescent’s statements verbatim; and including detailed descriptions of the child’s or adolescent’s symptoms and likely mechanisms of injuries, if any. Additionally, the GDG emphasized the importance of noting the findings, to make it clear that the absence of physical evidence does not mean that abuse did not occur. This is because in courts there is an emphasis on physical evidence, whereas in many cases of child and adolescent sexual abuse, depending on the nature of the abuse and when the child or adolescent is brought to the health-care provider, there may not be any physical evidence (84). The GDG also highlighted the importance of noting the child’s or adolescent’s emotional state and noting any discrepancies between the account of the child or adolescent and that of the caregiver, without any interpretation on the part of the health-care provider (see Web Annex 2 for the full report).

C. HIV post-exposure prophylaxis treatment and adherence

Penetrative sexual assault (i.e. rape) of a child or adolescent involving oral, vaginal or anal receptive intercourse carries with it the risk of HIV transmission. The risk of sexual transmission of HIV from a single act of consensual sex is generally low. The risk of HIV transmission associated with child or adolescent sexual abuse is unknown. However, there are several characteristics associated with penetrative sexual abuse, particularly of children and adolescents, that can affect the risk of HIV transmission. For example, adolescent girls are known to be biologically at higher risk of HIV transmission because thinner vaginal walls, immature cervixes and low estrogen levels make them physiologically more vulnerable to HIV transmission than adult women (110). Other factors that increase the likelihood of HIV transmission are the presence of tears and genital injuries from forced sexual intercourse and the involvement of multiple perpetrators (i.e. gang-rape) (111, 112).

A limited number of studies have tried to determine rates of HIV infection among children who have been sexually abused and who sought medical services. Sample sizes in these studies were small and the mode of transmission could not always be confirmed (22, 23). In a study from Cameroon, the rate of HIV infection was found to be 37.5% among children who were sexually abused with penetration (113). Another review of literature on child sexual abuse in sub-Saharan Africa estimated that 1% of all children in South Africa will have experienced penetrative sexual abuse by a person living with HIV by the time they are aged 18 years (114). In settings of high HIV prevalence, there may be strong ethical arguments for provision of HIV PEP to children and adolescents who have, or may have, experienced penetrative oral, vaginal or anal sexual abuse and who seek services within 72 hours of the incident.

R1: Recommendation 1 (existing) (115)

The GDG accepted that the existing recommendations for HIV PEP treatment (including regimen and frequency) for the general population of children and adolescents facing non-occupational exposure to HIV, and for sexually assaulted women, is applicable to children and

adolescents who have, or may have, been exposed to sexual abuse involving oral, vaginal or anal penetration (39, 115, 116).

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| HIV PEP should be offered, as appropriate, to children and adolescents who have been raped involving oral, vaginal or anal penetration with a penis, and who present within 72 hours of the incident (see remarks for additional considerations for PEP eligibility). | Indirect evidence | Strong |

R2: Recommendation 2 (existing) (115)

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| A 28-day prescription of antiretroviral drugs (ARVs) should be provided for HIV PEP following initial risk assessment. | Low | Strong |

R3: Recommendation 3 (existing) (115)

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| A triple-therapy regimen (i.e. with three drugs) of ARVs is preferred, but a two-drug regimen is also effective. | Very low | Conditional |

Remarks

- These recommendations apply to those who present within 72 hours of the rape having taken place. Children or adolescents who have been raped and who present later than 72 hours post-exposure would normally not be considered as eligible for HIV PEP. However, in the case of ongoing sexual abuse that occurs over a number of days, the 72-hour time limit should be applied to the most recent exposure to oral, vaginal or anal penetrative intercourse.
- Assessment for eligibility should be based on the HIV status of the source whenever possible and may include consideration of background prevalence and local epidemiological patterns.
 - In some settings with high HIV prevalence or where the source is known to be at high risk for HIV infection, PEP should be offered without risk assessment.
 - In low-prevalence settings, policies on offering routine HIV PEP will need to consider the local context, resources and opportunity and other costs of offering it.
 - Eligibility can be assessed based on whether HIV status of the perpetrator is positive or unknown; whether the child or adolescent exposed to sexual abuse is not known to be HIV positive; and whether they had a defined risk of exposure (e.g. receptive vaginal or anal penetration without a condom or with a condom that broke or slipped, or contact between the perpetrator's blood or ejaculation and mucous membrane or non-intact skin during the abuse, or they were a recipient of oral sex with ejaculation, or they were drugged or unconscious at the time of the alleged abuse, or they were gang-raped).

- With adolescents who have been raped, based on their evolving capacities to understand the information provided, HIV risk should be discussed, to determine the use of HIV PEP. This includes the limitations of PEP; the HIV status and characteristics of the perpetrator if known; and the assault characteristics, including the number of perpetrators, the side-effects of the ARVs used in the PEP regimen and the likelihood of HIV transmission. With infants and younger children, the limitations of PEP, side-effects and likelihood of HIV transmission should be discussed with the non-offending caregivers.
- HIV PEP should be initiated as early as possible to maximize its effectiveness, and ideally provided within 72 hours of exposure. Children and adolescents may not be able to access services within this time, owing to several barriers, and it is important to address the barriers to accessing the health facility within the 72 hours. This includes raising community awareness about the importance of seeking care as early as possible – ideally as soon as possible after the rape and within 72 hours (see **Good practice statement 8** on facilitating timely uptake of services).
- Those presenting more than 72 hours after exposure may still require other treatments and interventions, including referrals, which should be offered.
- HIV testing and counselling should be provided at the initial consultation before offering PEP. HIV testing should be performed using rapid diagnostic tests that can provide definitive results in most cases within 2 hours and often within 20 minutes.
- Assessment of the HIV status of the exposed child or adolescent should not be a barrier to initiating HIV PEP. In emergency situations where HIV testing and counselling are not readily available, but the potential HIV risk is high, or if the exposed person refuses initial testing, HIV PEP should be initiated and HIV testing and counselling undertaken as soon as possible.
- Given the stigma associated with sexual abuse, the first visit to the health-care provider may be the only visit and thus the only opportunity to provide treatment and counselling. Therefore, the full drug regimen required for completing HIV PEP should be provided at first contact rather than only a starter pack that would require the patient to return to the health service.
- The choice of drugs and regimens for HIV PEP should follow national guidance.

R4: Recommendation 4 (Existing, updated for remarks)

Existing recommendations on PEP adherence support in two prior WHO guidelines were considered: the guideline on PEP for HIV (2014) (115) and the guideline on responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence against women (2013) (39). The guideline on PEP for HIV was based on a systematic review to assess the effectiveness of enhanced adherence counselling for all populations exposed to HIV (i.e. occupational and non-occupational exposure) and showed improved adherence as compared to standard PEP-adherence support (115). The guideline on responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence against women was for a population of sexually assaulted women (39). An update of the 2014 systematic review for the HIV PEP guidelines was conducted for two reasons. First, a separate systematic review and meta-analysis of PEP adherence has highlighted that PEP completion rates are the lowest for populations who have experienced sexual assault (40%) and for adolescents (37%) (117). Second, children and adolescents who have been sexually assaulted or raped face particular trauma and stigma associated with the assault that need to be considered. Therefore, adherence support may improve completion in this population, and also potentially have other indirect benefits through increased contact with services.

For the purposes of this guideline, an update to the systematic review for the 2014 HIV PEP guidelines was conducted, to identify whether enhanced adherence support interventions with the specific population of child and adolescent survivors of sexual assault or rape were found to be effective. On the basis of the available evidence (see evidence summary and evidence to recommendation below), no specific recommendation can be made on enhanced adherence counselling for children and adolescents who have, or may have, been sexually assaulted or raped. Hence, the existing recommendation from the WHO (2013) guideline on responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence against women has been used instead (39), and the remarks have been updated to reflect specific considerations for children and adolescents.

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Adherence counselling should be an important element in the provision of HIV PEP to survivors of rape. | Very low | Strong |

Remarks

- Many survivors of rape who are provided with HIV PEP do not successfully complete the preventive 28-day regimen because HIV PEP results in physical side-effects such as nausea and vomiting. Additionally, taking HIV PEP may trigger painful thoughts or trauma associated with rape, and other issues may overtake the lives of survivors. The stigma associated with rape may also contribute to low adherence among this population (e.g. owing to concerns related to secrecy). Therefore, specific attention should be paid to these issues.
- Health-care providers should be aware that it is very difficult to attain adherence and efforts should be made to ensure that it is maintained. Side-effects should be communicated in a way that is accurate but does not induce fear, and the impact of the traumatic event also needs to be considered.
- It is important to improve or upgrade basic counselling skills to support PEP adherence and to offer ongoing counselling support. While most HIV services will already have the capacity to undertake counselling, at the primary health care level in other types of services, it may be necessary to upgrade the counselling skills of health-care providers.
- Given the stigma associated with rape, the first visit to the health-care provider may be the only visit and, thus, the only opportunity to provide adherence counselling to the child or adolescent. If the decision is made to take HIV PEP, counselling on adherence should be provided as comprehensively as possible.
- Age-specific needs should be taken into consideration in offering adherence counselling to the child or adolescent. Barriers to adherence and completion can be expected to be different for children and adolescents, and interventions to support them and their caregivers will have to differ as relevant. For young children, adherence counselling also needs to involve the caregivers. For adolescents, it is important to engage them in developing an adherence plan, with age-tailored messages and respecting their autonomy (e.g. ascertaining whether or not they wish to engage caregivers).

Evidence summary

An update to the systematic review for the 2014 HIV PEP guidelines (117) was conducted, to identify whether enhanced adherence-support interventions with the specific population of survivors of sexual assault, including children and adolescents, were found to be effective. The update addressed the PICO question: “Among children and adolescents (0–18 years) who

have, or may have, been exposed to sexual abuse (P), do any interventions to provide enhanced adherence support for HIV PEP (I) as compared to no adherence support (C) prevent HIV and/or improve adherence to PEP (O)?”.

Three randomized trials (118–120) were included in the updated systematic review that assessed enhanced counselling interventions as a way to improve adherence. Of these, one trial was conducted in South Africa (118) with adults taking PEP following sexual assault and two trials, one in the USA (119) and one in France (120), were conducted with adults taking PEP following unprotected sexual intercourse, with the latter excluding participants experiencing sexual assault. The frequency, content and mode of delivery of enhanced counselling varied and the sample sizes were small. All three trials showed a trend in improving adherence among participants who received enhanced adherence counselling. However, none of the three trials reported findings specific to children and adolescents who were sexually abused. The trial in South Africa with sexually assaulted adults and female children (not disaggregated for children) reported similarly low levels of adherence in the intervention and comparison groups (118). (See Web Annexes 3, 3a and 3b for the full report, and evidence-to decision and GRADE table).

To provide further information about barriers to PEP adherence faced by children and adolescents exposed to sexual abuse, a qualitative review on barriers and facilitators for PEP adherence was conducted. A total of 12 studies were included (121–132), mostly from the USA ($n = 6$), followed by South Africa ($n = 3$) and one study each from Brazil, Canada and Malawi. Six studies included outcomes for children and adolescents aged 19 years or younger only, while the remainder were mixed studies including adults. All studies included respondents who had been sexually assaulted. The most frequently reported barriers to adherence/completion of PEP were reported to be concerns related to side-effects; forgetting to take the medicines; fear of being stigmatized or blamed; being busy; traumatic associations with the sexual assault or rape; poor knowledge; and mental health problems. Among the most frequently reported facilitating factors associated with PEP adherence/completion were health-care provider encouragement to take PEP; survivor attending counselling; being reminded to take PEP by family or peers; and one-stop services that offered both HIV testing and PEP at the initial consultation (see Web Annex 3).

From evidence to recommendation

The GDG considered the evidence for effectiveness of “*enhanced adherence*” counselling, as well as the qualitative data on barriers and facilitators. They noted the evidence that PEP adherence/completion following sexual assault has been found to be lower than in the general population and that it can be a challenge for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused because they are often traumatized. Therefore, the GDG agreed that support and counselling for PEP adherence is important and must be flagged as an important element of PEP provision. They did not, however, agree that the evidence for “*enhanced adherence*” counselling was sufficiently convincing to recommend it for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused:

- The evidence on the effectiveness of “*enhanced adherence*” for the population of children and adolescents who have been sexually abused has very low certainty, owing to indirectness of the evidence. Specifically, two out of the three included trials were carried out in patients presenting for sexual exposure and not sexual assault, and included only adult patients. The third trial included adult women and female children who had been sexually assaulted, but did not disaggregate for children, and found no statistically significant differences in adherence rates across the intervention and comparison groups.

- Moreover, owing to small sample sizes relative to the number of events for all trials, the resulting confidence interval around the pooled point estimate was wide (i.e. imprecise effect size). Therefore, it cannot be ruled out that the trend in improved adherence was due to chance.
- The exact nature, intensity and resource implications of “*enhanced adherence*” counselling could not be ascertained, as the strategies varied across the three trials; however, it is likely to require additional resources.
- While the evidence on harms versus benefits could not be ascertained from the studies as they relied on populations that were exposed to HIV (and not necessarily to sexual assault), the GDG flagged concerns for potential harms because children and adolescents who have been sexually abused may also be dealing with trauma, stigma and concerns for safety that are distinct from those of most other patients who may require HIV PEP.
- There were no included studies to ascertain the feasibility and resource requirements for “*enhanced adherence*”.

D. Pregnancy prevention and management among girls who have been sexually abused

Adolescent girls who have attained menarche or are of reproductive age and who have experienced, or are experiencing, sexual abuse may be at risk of unwanted pregnancy. While little research exists on the likelihood of pregnancy after rape, the National Women’s Study in the USA found that 5.3% of the rapes of adolescent girls aged 12–17 years resulted in pregnancy, similar to the rate in adult women who have been raped (133). Of all the girls and women in that study where rape resulted in pregnancy, 50% opted for abortion.

R5: Recommendation 5 (existing) (134)

The GDG accepted that the existing recommendation for emergency contraception for the general population of women is applicable to girls who have attained menarche and who are in the beginning stages of puberty (i.e. reached Tanner stage 2 or 3) and who have, or may have, been exposed to sexual abuse involving penile-vaginal penetration (i.e. rape or coerced/forced sexual intercourse).

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Offer emergency contraception to girls who have been raped involving peno-vaginal penetration and who present within 120 hours (5 days) of the incident. | Moderate | Strong |

Remarks

- The following emergency contraceptive pills (ECPs) can be offered to girls who have attained menarche (i.e. post-menarche), as well as those who are in the beginning stages of puberty (i.e. have reached Tanner stage 2 or 3)¹ without any restrictions:
 - ulipristal acetate (UPA): single dose (one 30 mg tablet); OR
 - levonorgestrel-only (LNG): single dose (one 1.5 mg or two 0.75 mg tablets) is preferred; alternatively, split the dose (one dose of 0.75 mg, followed by a second dose of 0.75 mg 12 hours later);

¹ At the beginning of puberty, girls who reach Tanner stage 2 or thelarche (i.e. with onset of secondary breast development) or Tanner stage 3 (i.e. breast development becomes more elevated) may face the risk of an unwanted pregnancy as a result of sexual assault or rape because they are likely to be ovulating even prior to the onset of menstruation.

- if UPA or LNG are not available, then offer combined oral estrogen–progestogen contraceptives (COCs): split dose (one dose of 100 µg ethinyl estradiol plus 0.50 mg LNG, followed by a second dose of 100 µg ethinyl estradiol plus 0.50 mg LNG 12 hours later); these should be offered with anti-emetics if available.
- The risk of ECPs on the market is negligible to zero; they are extremely safe and well tolerated and meet the criteria for over-the-counter provision (i.e. medical eligibility criteria category 1 (134)). Moreover, the harms (e.g. risk to the mother’s health, stigma) of an unplanned or unwanted pregnancy for this age group as an outcome of rape very likely outweigh the risks.
- A copper-bearing intra-uterine device (Cu-IUD) can also be used as an emergency contraceptive measure, with no restrictions for girls who have attained menarche (i.e. post-menarche) if there is a low risk of STI. In the case of a high risk of STI, the use of a Cu-IUD as an emergency contraceptive is usually not recommended unless other more appropriate methods are not available or acceptable. The Cu-IUD can be inserted up to 120 hours after unprotected sexual intercourse.
- Ideally, emergency contraception (UPA, LNG or COC ECPs) should be initiated as soon as possible after exposure, in order to maximize its effectiveness, although it can be given up to 5 days (120 hours) after exposure. Based on her capacity to understand the information provided, the adolescent girl and/or her non-offending caregivers should be advised that the effectiveness reduces with the length of the interval between exposure to penetration and taking the emergency contraception.
- A pregnancy test is not required, but if one is done and the result confirms pregnancy, emergency contraception should not be provided.

GP5: GOOD PRACTICE STATEMENT 5 (existing) (39, 135)¹

If a girl is pregnant as a result of the rape, she should be offered safe abortion to the full extent of the law.

Remarks

- Administrative requirements (e.g. forensic evidence or police reports) for obtaining safe abortion should be minimized, with clear protocols established with the police and the health-care providers, in order to facilitate timely referral and access to safe abortion within the gestational time limits.
- Where abortion is not permitted, or if the pregnancy is too advanced for abortion at presentation, the pregnant girl should be supported through her pregnancy and delivery, and other options such as adoption should be explored with her.

E. Post-exposure prophylaxis for curable and vaccine-preventable sexually transmitted infections

Children and adolescents who experience sexual abuse may become infected with a STI. A systematic review carried out for this guideline included 23 publications reporting on the detection of STIs among children and adolescents in LMICs who were suspected of having experienced sexual abuse, most of which were retrospective studies (136–158). Studies reported

¹ WHO safe abortion guidelines already state the importance of offering safe abortion to all survivors of rape who become pregnant as a result. Remarks are taken from existing WHO guidelines for safe abortion and responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence against women. The GDG accepted these as relevant for this guideline.

high levels of penetrative sex among children and adolescents who were sexually abused. The review highlights variable but high rates of some STIs among children and adolescents exposed to sexual abuse and who present to health-care facilities. The review yielded a wide range of STI infection rates that varied by type of STI. For example, STI detection rates ranged between <1% and 61% in girls and <1% and 48% in boys, with higher detection rates for neisseria gonorrhoeae in girls and syphilis in boys.

WHO guidelines (2013) recommend presumptive STI prophylaxis for women who have been sexually assaulted (39). However, CDC guidelines recommend testing for STIs using nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) and treating children and adolescents who have been sexually abused, based on the rationale that STI rates in this population in the USA are low and NAATs tests are available (159). The national guidelines in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (UK) do not routinely recommend presumptive treatment for neisseria gonorrhoeae and chlamydia trachomatis in children and young people following sexual abuse, but it may be considered where tests are not performed or declined; where the child or adolescent is unlikely to return for treatment if an STI is detected; or if the risk of infection is high (160). Therefore, the GDG agreed that new recommendations should be developed for offering STI prophylaxis for curable STIs for the particular population of children and adolescents who have been sexually abused. On the other hand, WHO recommendations from 2017 exist for vaccine preventable STIs such as HPV and Hepatitis B and these are not likely to be significantly different for sexually abused children and adolescents. Hence, for the latter, the GDG accepted to use the existing WHO recommendations.

R6: Recommendation 6 (new)

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Presumptive (or prophylactic) treatment for gonorrhoea, chlamydia and syphilis is suggested for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused involving oral, genital or anal contact with a penis, or oral sex, particularly in settings where laboratory testing is not feasible. | Very low, indirect evidence | Conditional |

R7: Recommendation 7 (new)

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| For children and adolescents who have been sexually abused and who present with clinical symptoms, syndromic case management is suggested for vaginal/urethral discharge (gonorrhoea, chlamydia, trichomoniasis), and for genital ulcers (herpes simplex virus, syphilis, and chancroid), particularly in settings where laboratory testing is not feasible. | Very low, indirect evidence | Conditional |

Remarks

- The drug regimens and dosages for syndromic case management and presumptive STI treatment should be based on national guidelines.
- For more information, see also WHO guidelines on gonorrhoea (161), chlamydia (162), syphilis (163) and herpes simplex virus (164) (2016).

- Where feasible, collect specimens for either confirmation of diagnosis or forensic use.
- Where rapid, point-of-care tests for syphilis are available, test for syphilis. If the test results are positive, treatment should be offered even though confirmation may still be needed. However, if test results are negative and presumptive treatment is not offered, tests should be repeated after 4 weeks, as per WHO syphilis guidelines (163).
- Efforts are under way to develop point-of-care tests for other STIs that will augment syndromic management of symptomatic cases and increase the ability to identify asymptomatic infections.
- In the presence of clinical symptoms (e.g. genital ulcer syndrome, genital discharge syndrome, presence of ano-genital warts), presumptive treatment, in the form of a syndromic approach, would be the more obvious course of action, whether or not samples can also be collected (e.g. for confirmation of diagnosis or for forensic purposes).
- Equity and human rights considerations: no studies evaluated issues related to equity. However, the GDG flagged that requiring laboratory tests for gonorrhoea and chlamydia (i.e. NAATs), in order to offer treatment, would limit access (e.g. in settings where such tests are not available or accessible for some groups). Moreover, requiring children or adolescents to return for laboratory results in order to obtain treatment might lower uptake, as studies in the review suggested a high loss to follow-up of survivors in subsequent visits. On the other hand, in situations of ongoing abuse with no particular symptoms, testing, particularly for STIs that are long-lasting/chronic, may be warranted, and as much as possible should be done at the first point of contact. Similarly, in settings where laboratory testing is generally feasible, it may be important to test before offering treatment.

Evidence summary

Given the lack of direct evidence on best approaches to manage STI among children and adolescents who have been sexually abused, a systematic review was commissioned to address the PICO question: *"Among children and adolescents (0–18 years) who have or may have been exposed to sexual abuse (P), is screening and treatment (i.e. test and treat) for STIs (I) more effective than presumptive treatment for STIs (C), in order to prevent and manage the adverse outcomes of STI (O)?"*.

To respond to this question, a systematic review of the evidence was conducted for this guideline. The search did not identify any study that directly addressed the PICO question – i.e. comparing the effectiveness, acceptability or preference for test-and-treat versus presumptive STI treatment. Therefore, to develop the recommendation, indirect evidence relying on observational prevalence studies had to be considered. First, the evidence on the risk of STI among the population of children and adolescents who had been sexually abused was considered. The review found 23 studies from LMICs with variable, but considerably high STI detection rates among sexually abused children and adolescents in some settings (136–158). For example, in eight studies identified from sub-Saharan Africa (136–138, 140–144), rates varied from 0.7% in one study in South Africa (136) to a high of 43% of children in Togo (143). In nine retrospective studies from south Asia and the Middle East (139, 145–148, 150–152, 158), STI detection rates among sexually abused children coming to STI clinics ranged from 2% in one study from Bahrain (147) to a high of 38% in another study from India (150) (see Web Annex 4 for the full report). Quality assessments of individual observational studies (not of case reports) was conducted using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist (see Web Annex 4, supplementary tables 1–8).

Second, the GDG considered the evidence from studies that highlighted that very few children and adolescents who were sexually abused presented to health services in a timely manner. For example, in studies from the Democratic Republic of Congo (165) and Uganda (142), less than one third of the child sexual abuse cases recorded presented within 3–5 days of the assault. In a study from Thailand (166), this figure was 56% and in one study from Brazil (167), it was 80% of the cases. However, in all of these studies, most patients were lost to follow-up for STI treatment, highlighting the limited window of opportunity to offer STI treatment at the first visit itself (137, 142, 165–168).

Lastly, the GDG considered the evidence on the costs of testing for STIs and treatment, including the equity considerations. The cost of STI testing based on NAATs for gonorrhoea and chlamydia is US\$ 30 per test and for syphilis US\$ 0.13 to US\$ 1.75 (rapid plasma reagent test). The cost of presumptive treatment for STI, which largely involves the cost of drugs, ranges from US\$ 0.35 to US\$ 2.25 for antibiotic drugs, and hence is much lower than that of a test-and-treat approach (169) (see Web Annex 4 for the full report).

From evidence to recommendation

Because of the lack of direct evidence, the GDG considered the indirect evidence summarized above. The group noted that there is important uncertainty about the evidence, as there are no studies comparing the test-and-treat-approach to presumptive treatment. Therefore, the quality of evidence was rated as very low, based on indirect evidence. The indirect evidence points to the conclusions listed next.

- Despite the heterogeneous evidence across regions on STI-detection rates among children and adolescents who have been sexually abused, high rates of some types of STIs have been observed in this population in some settings.
- STIs are very frequently asymptomatic and can lead to serious complications when untreated.
- Most survivors of sexual abuse do not present within the first 3–5 days and those who do often do not return for follow-up visits; therefore, the opportunity to treat for STIs needs to be maximized in the first visit.
- The undesirable effects of presumptive treatment include potential side-effects, particularly when combined with PEP and emergency contraception, and the potential for development of pathogen resistance for gut pathogens. However, the side-effects may be short-lived for STI drugs, and the potential for pathogen resistance can be minimized with a single supervised dose.
- The costs of presumptive treatment are far lower than those of test-and-treat, which requires availability and accessibility of NAAT. The GDG debated the issue of cost and availability extensively, as they did not want to have different standards of care for different resource settings. They also highlighted that for syphilis, screening is available and affordable and should ideally be done.
- For the reasons given above, the strength of the recommendation was rated as conditional.

R8: Recommendation 8 (existing) (170)

It was agreed that existing recommendations for vaccine-preventable STIs (i.e. hepatitis B and human papillomavirus (HPV) for the general population are applicable to children and adolescents who have been sexually abused and who have not been previously vaccinated.

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Hepatitis B vaccination without hepatitis B immunoglobulin should be offered, as per national guidance. | Very low, indirect evidence | Strong |

Remarks

- Pre-vaccination serological testing is not recommended as routine practice. However, in settings where laboratory facilities are available and are cost effective, if it is not known whether the child or adolescent has been vaccinated against hepatitis B, blood should be taken for hepatitis B status prior to administering the first vaccine dose (170).
- If immune, no further course of vaccination is required.

R9: Recommendation 9 (existing) (171)

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| HPV vaccination should be offered to girls in the age group 9–14 years, as per national guidance. | Moderate | Strong |

Remarks

- It is not necessary to screen prior to HPV vaccination. If two or three doses have been received, depending on age and national schedule, no further course of vaccination is required.
- While the HPV vaccination is intended for girls who are naïve to vaccine-related HPV types (i.e. before the onset of sexual activity involving vaginal penetration), girls who are raped may still benefit from the vaccine. The reason is that the girl could be infected either by a strain that is not in the vaccine or by one of the four strains covered by the quadrivalent vaccine (i.e. protects against four strands of HPV).
- Girls receiving the first dose of HPV before the age of 15 years can use a two-dose schedule. The interval between doses should be 6 months. While there is no maximum interval, an interval of 12–15 months is suggested. For girls aged 15 years and older, a three-dose schedule (0, 1–2, 6 months) should be given (171).
- HPV vaccine may be administered concomitantly with hepatitis B vaccine. If HPV vaccine is given at the same time as another injectable vaccine, the vaccines should always be administered at different injection sites using separate syringes.

F. Psychological and mental health interventions in the short term and longer term

Sexual abuse of children and adolescents has both short- and long-term mental health consequences. A systematic review that looked at 14 reviews found child sexual abuse to be significantly associated with the following symptoms: depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress, dissociation, eating disorders, sleep disorders, low self-esteem, anger, externalizing symptoms, ideation and behaviours related to suicide and self-harm, interpersonal problems, and engagement in high-risk behaviours (e.g. substance use, unsafe sexual behaviours, alcohol misuse) later in life (19). Children or adolescents presenting with such symptoms or disclosing sexual abuse need to be provided both immediate psychological support as well as longer-term mental health care.

GP6: Good practice statement 6 (new)

For children and adolescents who have recently been sexually abused, and who experience symptoms of acute traumatic stress (within the first month), health-care providers should offer/continue to offer first-line support that is gender sensitive and child or adolescent centred, as described in **Good practice statement 1**.

R10: Recommendation 10 (existing) (172)

For exposure to a recent traumatic event that produces stress-related symptoms, WHO does not recommend psychological debriefing.¹ This is also applicable to children and adolescents who have been recently sexually abused.

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Psychological debriefing should not be used in an attempt to reduce the risk of post-traumatic stress, anxiety or depressive symptoms. | Very low | Strong |

Remarks

- In addition to first-line support, children or adolescents who have been sexually abused, and their non-offending caregivers, also need other psychosocial support to promote well-being and functioning, involving psycho-education, support for managing and coping with stress, and promoting daily functioning as they recover from their traumatic experience over time. For detailed guidance on how to do this, see the section in the mhGAP intervention guide for mental, neurological and substance use disorders in non-specialized health settings, version 2.0 (2016) (5).²
- It is important to provide to non-offending caregivers of young children information about possible signs or symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and/or behaviours or emotions that the child may show in the coming days or months and when to seek further help. Similarly, for an adolescent, depending on their capacity and maturity to understand information about their symptoms, information should be offered about likely signs or symptoms or emotions that they are likely to experience and when to seek further help.
- Explain to the child or adolescent (as appropriate), and/or their non-offending caregivers, that they are likely to improve over time. Offer follow-up appointments.

R11: Recommendation 11 (new)

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) with a trauma focus should be considered for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused and are experiencing symptoms of PTSD. | Very low | Conditional |

¹ This involves a single debriefing session after exposure to the traumatic event, either individually or in a group, to help the survivor process the trauma by recollecting the event and sharing their emotions with a counsellor or therapist in a structured way.

² Sections on essential care and practice, page 12 and psychosocial interventions for child and adolescent mental disorders, pages 87–89.

R12: Recommendation 12 (new)

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| When safe and appropriate to involve at least one non-offending caregiver, CBT with a trauma focus should be considered for both: (i) children and adolescents who have been sexually abused and are experiencing symptoms of PTSD; and (ii) their non-offending caregiver(s). | Low | Conditional |

Remarks

- The safety of the child or adolescent who has been sexually abused is paramount. Those providing psychological interventions should be aware of the potential risks for children or adolescents. The involvement of caregivers should be guided by concerns for the safety and well-being of the child or adolescent and, therefore, the recommendation is focused on non-offending caregivers. Health-care providers should assess the safety implications of the treatment/care they provide and take actions to minimize the risk of harms.
- Minimum requirements for delivering psychological interventions, particularly CBT with a trauma focus, include the need for thorough training and ongoing supportive supervision of personnel, particularly for non-specialized providers.
- Children and adolescents should have an assessment by someone who is qualified to assess whether they have PTSD or symptoms of PTSD.
- CBT with a trauma focus can be offered to both those diagnosed with PTSD and those who have symptoms of PTSD.
- Where a trained provider is not available, children and adolescents should be referred after the assessment.
- Stress management may also be beneficial for children and adolescents with PTSD or symptoms of PTSD.
- Subgroup considerations: the intervention will need to be adapted with diverse approaches to the different levels of maturity and cognitive development of children and adolescents. There is also some evidence that suggests that the mental health impacts may be more severe for those children who were exposed to sexual abuse at a younger age (173, 174).
- Equity and human rights considerations: in some settings, girls may be more frequently victimized than boys, although this may vary by setting (13, 15). On the other hand, boys are less likely to seek services than girls, and may face additional barriers related to stereotypes and lack of acceptance that boys can be sexually abused, or fear of having their masculinity questioned (15, 175, 176). Mental health outcomes for non-offending caregivers will also need attention. Access to psychological interventions may be challenging in LMICs and rural areas where there is limited availability of skilled health-care providers or trained specialists; where physical access to facilities is limited; where costs are prohibitive; and for families who may have to take time off from work to attend or take their children to sessions. Access may similarly be limited for indigenous groups, children or adolescents with disabilities and other minority groups. Therefore, extra effort may be needed to reach out and improve access for all of these groups.

Evidence summary

Existing WHO guidelines for post-traumatic stress (2013) recommend individual or cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) with a trauma focus (see glossary), or eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR), for children and adolescents with PTSD (172). WHO guidelines for responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence against women (2013) recommend CBT and EMDR for adult women exposed to sexual assault (39). The UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines (2017) recommend trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (TF-CBT) for children with chronic PTSD, including those who have been sexually abused (177). However, the evidence in these prior guidelines included all populations of children exposed to different types of trauma and PTSD and/or was not specific to children or adolescents who had been sexually abused. Therefore, evidence was updated for the present guideline, focusing on studies conducted with children or adolescents who had been sexually abused. Interventions that also included non-offending caregivers were also considered. A systematic review was conducted to answer two PICO questions:

- *Among children and adolescents who have or may have been exposed to sexual abuse and who are diagnosed with mental disorders (P), do any psychosocial interventions (e.g. psychological counselling or psychotherapeutic interventions) (I), as compared to no or any other psychosocial interventions (C), improve the child's or adolescent's mental health outcomes (e.g. emotional, behavioural disorders, PTSD, depression, subjective well-being, daily functioning) and/or parent/caregiver outcomes (O)?*
- *Do psychosocial support interventions (I) involving children/adolescents who are likely to have been sexually abused, and their non-offending parents/caregivers (P), as compared to no or any other intervention (C), improve the psychological well-being of parents/caregivers (e.g. stress, stigmatizing behaviours, healthy parent–child interactions, uptake of services) and/or mental health of children (O)?*

The outcomes that were considered to be critical included PTSD; depression; internalizing disorders; externalizing disorders; anxiety; school functioning; and child subjective well-being. To address both the PICO questions, a systematic review was conducted updating the 2013 Agency for Healthcare Quality (AHRQ) report on child exposure to trauma, to specifically focus on child sexual abuse and expand to include adolescents who had been sexually abused, including those who experienced sexual abuse from peers (178). The review for the first PICO question above resulted in 10 articles with eight randomized controlled trials that met the inclusion criteria (179–188). Only two of these trials were conducted in LMIC settings. The review included interventions that addressed CBT with a trauma focus, prolonged exposure therapy, stress inoculation training and gradual exposure (SIT), and individual and group psychotherapy. The studies compared CBT with a trauma focus to wait list; TF-CBT to community control; CBT with a trauma focus to EMDR; SIT to conventional therapy; prolonged exposure to conventional therapy; and individual psychotherapy to no treatment or to group psychotherapy. In a majority of studies involving CBT with a trauma focus, the therapy was found to have medium to large benefits for PTSD and small to medium benefits for other symptoms (e.g. depression, internalizing or externalizing symptoms) among children or adolescents who had been exposed to sexual abuse. However, the evidence was considered to be of very low certainty/quality (see Web Annexes 5, 5a and b for the full report, evidence-to-decision table and GRADE table).

For the second PICO question, which also included interventions with a caregiver component, the systematic review found 18 articles with 10 randomized controlled trials (none from LMICs) that met the inclusion criteria (184, 186, 187, 189–203). Most of the included studies assessed a form or component of CBT with a trauma focus or a combination of CBT with a trauma

focus along with other programme components. The studies compared risk reduction through family therapy (RRFT) with treatment as usual; CBT with a trauma focus with a wait-list control or TF-CBT with non-CBT interventions; TF-CBT with compared to without a trauma narrative; CBT with supportive counselling; and family/network meetings combined with or without group work. The findings suggest an overall medium benefit for the different types of psychological interventions, and medium to large benefits specifically of TF-CBT on PTSD symptoms as compared to non-CBT-type therapies. The evidence was graded as low certainty/quality. Limited evidence from Australia and the UK showed high costs for providing CBT and TF-CBT. For example, one cost–utility study from the Australian mental health-care system showed that the per person cost for TF-CBT for a 12-month period after adjusting for incremental cost for quality-adjusted life years, was Australian \$ 22 790 (204). In the UK, a study from 2006 suggested that the cost of CBT for adults is approximately £750 per person (see Web Annexes 6, 6a and 6b for the full report, evidence-to-decision table and GRADE table) (205).

From evidence to recommendation

In formulating the recommendations, the adverse mental health consequences of child and adolescent sexual abuse are an important consideration.

- For psychological interventions aimed at children or adolescents only, the GDG considered the evidence that there are more included studies of CBT with a trauma focus with evidence of medium-to-large benefits on PTSD, along with promising evidence (from one study) of other CBT-type therapies that include a trauma component (e.g. prolonged exposure). No studies provided information about potential harms of this intervention, although the GDG highlighted the importance of safety of the child or adolescent who has been sexually abused. On balance, the evidence was in favour of recommending CBT with a trauma focus for this population.
- However, there is very low certainty/quality of the evidence overall, owing to serious concerns about the risk of bias; small sample sizes; and imprecise effect sizes. Moreover, there is evidence of large costs, limited feasibility and limited cost effectiveness for implementing CBT with a trauma focus. There is also evidence of other barriers to implementation (e.g. lack of specialized and trained health-care providers; effort to train, mentor and supervise lay personnel; costs of transport; loss of wages of caregivers; and time required for frequent visits). All of these considerations make it challenging for CBT with a trauma focus to be applicable everywhere. Therefore, the strength of the recommendation is rated as conditional.
- Limited evidence suggests that the interventions may be acceptable to health-care providers, but young people receiving the intervention may be concerned with stigma. It also suggests that in different cultural contexts there may be reluctance on the part of caregivers to allow their children to be given information about sexual intercourse; and to change culturally accepted parenting skills.
- For the psychological interventions that include a child or adolescent as well as a caregiver component, the GDG considered the evidence that CBT with a trauma focus, particularly Cohen's TF-CBT (8), showed medium to large benefits over non-CBT-type therapies for PTSD. The evidence also showed small to medium benefits for other symptoms (e.g. depression, anxiety, internalizing and externalizing symptoms). The balance favoured recommending CBT with a trauma focus that involved the non-offending caregiver, for treatment of PTSD. The potential harms are also linked to safety which have to be addressed, but the balance remains in favour of the intervention as above.

- However, there is low-certainty/quality evidence, owing to serious concerns about the risk of bias and indirectness of evidence. Evidence also showed large costs of implementing the intervention and limited cost effectiveness for TF-CBT. Other barriers are similar to those for child- or adolescent-only interventions. Therefore, the strength of the recommendation is rated as conditional.
- There is not enough evidence from this population group to recommend psychotherapy broadly for emotional disorders,¹ or for behavioural disorders.² However, sexual abuse of children and adolescents is frequently accompanied by other adversities that are also risk factors associated with emotional disorders and some types of behavioural disorders. Therefore, existing mhGAP recommendations for emotional and behavioural disorders are applicable for this population (see below) (206).

R13: Recommendation 13 (existing) (206)

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Psychological interventions, such as CBT, may be offered to children and adolescents with behavioural disorders, and caregiver skills training to their non-offending caregivers. ^a | Low | Conditional |

^a While child sexual abuse may not have anything to do with caregiver skills, this component nonetheless may help in the recovery of the child or adolescent.

R14: Recommendation 14 (existing) (206)

| RECOMMENDATION | QUALITY OF EVIDENCE | STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Psychological interventions, such as CBT and interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT), may be offered to children and adolescents with emotional disorders, and caregiver skills training to their non-offending caregivers. | Low | Conditional |

Remarks

- The choice of psychological or behavioural intervention and how it is implemented should be based on the type of behavioural disorder(s) or emotional problem(s) respectively, and on the age and developmental stage of the child or adolescent (206).
- In adolescents, to assess other mental disorders including risk of suicide, self-harm, depression, alcohol and drug-use problems and their management/treatment, follow the mhGAP intervention guide for mental, neurological and substance use disorders in non-specialized health settings, version 2.0 (2016) (5).

G. Ethical principles and human rights standards for reporting child or adolescent sexual abuse

Requirements to report child or adolescent sexual abuse to child protection agencies (or other relevant authorities) vary across countries, but may be present in up to three forms. In some settings, health-care providers may have a legal requirement to report (i.e. mandatory reporting) known or suspected cases of sexual abuse of children or adolescents to designated relevant authorities, such as the police or child protection/welfare agencies. Secondly, there

¹ Emotional disorders are characterized by increased levels of anxiety, depression, fear and somatic symptoms.

² Behavioural disorders refer to a set of conditions that are characterized by hyperkinetic activity and/or persistent and repetitive instances of dissocial, aggressive or defiant conduct.

may be a policy requirement to report to a designated person established by the health-care providers' employers or by an industry body. Third, health-care providers may be bound by an ethical obligation, whether derived from a personal belief or a professional norm, to report cases of child or adolescent sexual abuse. The issue of whether or not mandatory reporting of child or adolescent sexual abuse is effective was considered by the GDG to be beyond the scope of this guideline. Instead, the focus is on the guiding principles and practical dos and don'ts to guide health-care providers with respect to reporting of child and adolescent sexual abuse in a range of settings – i.e. whether there is a legal or policy requirement or no formal obligation, but an ethical duty, to report.

GP7: GOOD PRACTICE STATEMENT 7

Whether health-care providers have to comply with a legal or policy requirement, or are guided by an ethical duty to report known or suspected cases of child or adolescent sexual abuse, they should balance the need to take into account the best interests of that child or adolescent and their evolving capacity to make autonomous decisions. These actions include the following:

- assessing the implications of reporting for the health and safety of that child or adolescent and taking steps to promote their safety; there may be situations in which it may not be in the best interests of the child to report the abuse;
- protecting the privacy of the child or adolescent (for example, in dealing with the media);
- taking steps to promote the child or adolescent's health, by providing immediate medical care and first-line support;
- providing information to that child or adolescent (before interviewing or taking the history from them), and to their non-offending caregivers, on:
 - the obligations to report the situation;
 - the limits of confidentiality;
 - what information will be reported and to whom;
 - what may happen next, practically and legally;
- documenting the reporting and maintaining confidentiality of the documented information with extra precautions where the perpetrator is a caregiver who could access the child's or adolescent's file;
- in cases where the sexual abuse has been committed by another child or adolescent, referring them to appropriate health or other (e.g. welfare or social) services as needed.

Health managers and policy-makers should:

- be aware of any legal requirements to report known or suspected cases of child or adolescent sexual abuse. In situations where there are no functioning legal or child welfare/protection systems to act on a report, or where the perpetrator is part of the formal system, the usefulness of mandatory reporting may be reduced (207). In such situations, health managers may need to balance the need to comply with reporting requirements with considerations of and steps for mitigating potential harms of reporting;

- facilitate health-care providers to receive training on the guiding principles for reporting, and whether, when, to whom and how to report;
- address health-care providers' beliefs and values that can adversely affect their reporting practices; these include stigma and cultural taboos related to sexual abuse; attitudes perpetuating gender inequality and blaming victims; and disapproval of consensual sexual activity between adolescents;
- establish systems and policies for record-keeping and information-sharing that ensure that information is kept confidential and relevant information is only shared with persons who need to know;
- recognize that reporting occurs within a systemic response involving multiple actors and formal and informal systems, and work with different agencies or institutions, including the child protection and police services, in order to coordinate an appropriate response.

Actions that are not in line with applying the principle of evolving capacities include:

- reporting clearly consensual sexual activity between adolescents (i.e. non-abusive sexual relations),¹ unless the adolescent's safety is at risk;
- informing parents or caregivers or seeking parental/caregiver consent, where adolescents, depending on their age and maturity, express their preference to not involve or notify their parents/caregivers, unless the adolescent's safety is at risk.

Evidence summary

A systematic review of the literature was commissioned to answer the following four questions:

- *What are the values and preferences of health-care providers or health-care institutions that are required by either law or policy to report, or that may otherwise wish to report?*
- *What are the values and preferences of children or adolescents and their caregivers or parents with respect to reporting of sexual abuse?*
- *How do/should the age of sexual consent or statutory rape laws shape reporting practices of health-care providers, and what is good clinical practice in these situations?*
- *What are the ethical, safety and human rights principles that are relevant in guiding clinical practice?*

The review yielded one qualitative and 10 quantitative studies that addressed the values and preferences of health-care providers regarding the reporting of child or adolescent sexual abuse – all except one from high-income country settings (208–218). These studies found that the factors that shaped a higher likelihood of health-care providers reporting child or adolescent sexual abuse included the following: health-care providers perceived sexual abuse of children or adolescents to be a serious problem; health-care providers expressed a strong intention or previous history of reporting such cases; and health-care providers had strong attitudinal support for a duty to report child or adolescent sexual abuse. Some of the studies also provided information about the barriers and ethical dilemmas faced by health-care providers in reporting. For example, one study highlighted how health-care providers felt


¹ CRC – General comment no. 20, para 40 notes that: “state parties should take into account the need to balance protection and evolving capacities, and define an acceptable minimum age when determining the legal age for sexual consent and should avoid criminalizing adolescents of similar ages for factually consensual and non-exploitative sexual activity”.

that they were not adequately trained or prepared to handle reporting (208). Another study flagged that health-care providers may subscribe to some of the cultural values, including beliefs about parental rights, family integrity and privacy and the desire not to disrupt family relationships (209).

The lack of, or ineffective, child welfare agencies was also found to be a barrier to reporting. Additionally, two reviews highlighted the cultural barriers for children and adolescents to disclose the sexual abuse they experienced, including the stigma and shame that would be brought to the survivors and their families (e.g. notions of family dishonour linked to girls losing their virginity, or blaming of girls for inviting abuse) (219, 220). Such barriers may also create ethical dilemmas for health-care providers regarding reporting abuse if disclosed or identified. No studies were found that addressed the values and preferences of children, adolescents or their caregivers with respect to reporting the abuse, and no studies were found that addressed the issue of age of sexual consent in shaping the reporting practices of health-care providers (see Web Annex 7 for the full report).

The recommendations and good practices in section 4 are summarized in Fig. 1, in a flowchart depicting the pathways of care that can be used as a job aid by health-care providers.

Fig. 1. Pathways of care for child or adolescent survivors of sexual abuse



^a Where immediate medical care is not needed or there are other circumstances, reporting obligations may need to be followed earlier.

5. Implementation considerations

This guideline focuses on recommendations and good practices for clinical aspects of care provision aimed at health-care providers. The scope did not include policy recommendations. However, some implementation considerations that enable health-care providers to deliver appropriate clinical care are included here. Two areas that were flagged by the GDG as being particularly important are: (i) facilitating the timely uptake of services by child or adolescent survivors of sexual abuse; and (ii) creating a supportive and enabling service-delivery environment for health-care providers.

A. Facilitating timely uptake of services

Timely uptake of services for those who have experienced penetrative sexual abuse is critical, in order to provide certain treatments such as HIV PEP, which is only effective if provided within 72 hours, or emergency contraception if provided within 120 hours.

GP8: GOOD PRACTICE STATEMENT 8

Health-care providers, including those working in communities, should facilitate the timely uptake of services by children and adolescents who have been sexually abused. These actions include the following:

- raising public awareness of risk, signs and symptoms and health consequences of sexual abuse and the need to seek timely care;
- making available comprehensive and integrated care that reduces the need for visiting several places for different aspects of services;
- publicizing the availability of services, once services are established and available, through concerted efforts including community-based and media campaigns and outreach activities. Such efforts also need especially to reach out to minority, indigenous or marginalized communities who may have less access and who need culturally tailored care;
- working with communities, survivors and their families to address the stigma of sexual abuse and of seeking mental health care; and to improve the acceptability of services and trust in health-care providers;
- advocating with policy-makers and management to reduce policy-level and practical barriers to accessing care (for example, requiring police reports as a condition for providing medical care and psychological support, or cost-related issues);
- strengthening referrals within and between health services and other sector services (for example, police, child protection and legal services).

Evidence summary

A systematic review of the literature identified 17 studies that responded to the question: “What are good practices for providing information and education about sexual abuse to children, adolescents and their caregivers, in order to increase the timely uptake of health services?” (54, 56, 137, 221–234). Of these, a majority ($n = 12$) were from high-income countries and the rest ($n = 5$) from LMICs. The common relevant themes identified across these studies included the need to improve public awareness of the signs and risks of sexual abuse and availability of services; implement coordinated services; work with marginalized groups in communities to develop culturally appropriate services; and reduce barriers to timely provision of services, such as requiring police reports as a prerequisite to providing health services. The GDG also emphasized the importance of strengthening referrals within health services and with other services, including the police and justice sectors. They also noted the importance of addressing the double burden of stigma associated with abuse, as well as seeking mental health services for those who might need the latter (see Web Annex 2 for the full report).

B. Creating a supportive and enabling service-delivery environment for health-care providers

For health-care providers to provide clinical care effectively and implement the recommendations from this guideline, they need to be supported by ongoing training, mentoring and supervision, as well as resource allocation for provision of care, and standardized protocols to guide care provision and strengthened multisectoral linkages.

GP9: GOOD PRACTICE STATEMENT 9

Health managers and policy-makers should create an enabling service-delivery environment and support health-care providers in carrying out their tasks and responsibilities related to caring for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused. These actions include the following:

- making available and prioritizing the provision of high-quality care in health-care settings for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused;
- facilitating ongoing training, supervision and mentoring:
 - emphasis needs to be on general assessment, child- or adolescent-centred first-line support and medical history/interviewing as minimum requirements in low-resource settings;
 - skills or competencies in assessing, examining and managing sexual abuse in a gender-sensitive and child- or adolescent-friendly manner, and in documentation, including how to interpret examination findings, need to be provided to all health-care providers who see children or adolescents; the exact cadre of health-care providers to be trained will vary depending on the context;
 - training needs to address attitudes of health-care providers, including those perpetuating gender inequality, stigmatizing adolescents based on their sexual orientation or gender identity, or blaming the survivor. It also needs to address health-care providers’ reluctance to be involved in the care and management of children or adolescents who have been sexually abused;
 - training needs to address the nature of health-care provider obligations to report child or adolescent sexual abuse (see **Good practice statement 7**).

- ideally, multidisciplinary teams can be trained together, with a clear delineation of roles, responsibilities and expectations;
 - for training to be sustainable, it needs to be integrated into pre-service and in-service curricula for medical, nursing, midwifery and other health providers' education and involve the relevant professional bodies.
- addressing needs for adequate staffing, with attention to retention of trained staff, along with adequate infrastructure, supplies and financial resources, including budgets, in order to support provision of services in a timely manner;
 - supporting health-care providers who provide care for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused and who are called upon to give evidence in court. It is important to also provide a working environment to prevent burnout and support coping with burnout and vicarious trauma. This can be done by making available specialists on sexual abuse and medical evaluation, for advice and to reduce professional isolation. In some settings, this kind of professional support has been facilitated online or through peer support, or a helpline for professionals and mobile health (mHealth) approaches;
 - strengthening referrals and linkages with other allied services can facilitate a multi-disciplinary and multisectoral approach and improve access to comprehensive care;
 - developing protocols or clinical care pathways which can be useful tools or job aids for health-care providers in systematically guiding care provision;
 - conducting monitoring and evaluation of care provision, including by providing tools for collection of age-disaggregated data.

Evidence summary

The systematic review of the literature identified 43 studies that addressed the question: “*What strategies can create supportive or enabling health systems for health-care providers to provide care to children and adolescents who have or may have been sexually abused?*”. Of these, a majority were from high-income countries ($n = 35$) (47, 48, 56, 58, 59, 61, 62, 67, 104, 105, 107, 223, 227, 235–264). The most relevant issues identified were providing ongoing, high-quality training to health workers, particularly in examination, interpretation of findings and documentation; making experts on sexual abuse available; adequately staffing, supplying and financing the facilities; establishing multidisciplinary care teams that are coordinated; establishing protocols for management of the abuse; and supporting the care providers who may suffer burnout. The GDG highlighted additional points about the training of health-care providers that included an emphasis on addressing attitudes, skills and competencies; improving sustainability of training by integrating it into pre- and in-service training curricula; and helping them fulfil any reporting obligations. They also raised the issue of monitoring and evaluation and providing tools for assessing the quality of care provision, including age-disaggregated data (see Web Annex 2 for the full report).

6. Research implications

Important knowledge gaps were identified in the process of developing this guideline that need to be addressed through research. However, these do not represent a comprehensive assessment of research gaps. All the new recommendations developed for this guideline are based on evidence that has been labelled “very low” or “low” quality, indicating the need for further research. In some areas (e.g. STIs), direct evidence from evaluated interventions was unavailable. Hence, indirect evidence in the form of descriptive studies or case reports had to be used. Most of the evidence is from a handful of high-income countries, with LMICs being underrepresented. There are also gaps in:

- knowledge of the prevalence of child and adolescent sexual abuse in many regions, including risk and protective factors and help-seeking behaviours;
- information about the longer-term impacts of child and adolescent sexual abuse, including the long-term health service needs; these are not understood and require longitudinal studies;
- understanding of the different needs for services or care, barriers faced and impacts of interventions on girls and boys, across different age groups and among those facing discrimination (e.g. on the basis of sex, race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation or gender identity, disability or socioeconomic status). Much less evidence was available for boys and LGBTI adolescents as compared to girls. Such information will help improve access to services and tailor interventions.

For each area considered in this guideline, additional topics requiring further research are presented under following headings.

A. Child- or adolescent-centred care/first-line support

- Explore how first-line support strategies can take into account the different needs and experiences of children and adolescents from different groups that may face discrimination (as in previous bullet point).

B. Medical history, physical examination and documentation of findings

- Identify approaches or practices that promote child- or adolescent-centred and sensitive interviewing, examination and documentation techniques and also how to counter harmful (e.g. two-finger or virginity testing) or incorrect practices.

C. HIV post-exposure prophylaxis treatment and adherence

- Identify how to improve adherence counselling and support and evaluate its effectiveness in child and adolescent survivors of sexual abuse.
- Conduct research to understand the barriers to PEP adherence among survivors of sexual assault, including adolescents and children.

D. Post-exposure prophylaxis for curable and vaccine-preventable sexually transmitted infections

- Include systematic laboratory STI testing among children and adolescents who have been sexually abused and who are asymptomatic when providing prophylactic treatment of STIs.
- Improve collection of data on STI rates among children and adolescents from LMICs who have been exposed to sexual abuse.

E. Psychological and mental health interventions in the short term and longer term

- Identify and evaluate psychological and mental health interventions that can be implemented in low-resource settings, as most of the evidence is based on interventions from high-resource settings and interventions that are resource intensive.
- Assess the scalability and how to scale up psychological and mental health interventions that have shown efficacy on a small scale, particularly in low-resource settings.

F. Ethical principles and human rights standards for reporting child or adolescent sexual abuse

- Collect information on the values and preferences of children, adolescents and their parents/caregivers about reporting of sexual abuse.
- Conduct research on the benefits and harms of mandatory reporting of child and adolescent sexual abuse, as well as on non-reporting.
- Conduct research on the effectiveness of mandatory reporting practices.
- Conduct policy reviews on the impact of requirements to obtain police reports in order to be able to provide care to survivors.
- Conduct research on the impact of statutory rape laws or laws on the age of sexual consent on health-care providers' reporting practices and on how these laws shape access to health services for children and adolescents who have been exposed to sexual abuse.

G. Implementation considerations

Facilitating timely uptake of services

- Conduct evaluations of strategies, especially from LMICs, to increase timely uptake of services. While the literature suggests that simply providing comprehensive care could increase uptake, research is required to explore this association.
- Conduct research to understand better who is accessing services, how are they learning about services and which communities are being left out.
- Evaluate different models of care and service delivery, to assess how they improve uptake and access.

Creating a supportive and enabling service-delivery environment for health-care providers

- Evaluate different training modalities and their effectiveness.
- Identify how innovations such as offering access to experts through online approaches can contribute to strengthening health-care-provider capacity.
- Assess how to promote the well-being of, and address burnout or vicarious trauma among health-care providers involved in this work.
- Assess how to strengthen provision of care in private as well as public-sector services.
- Identify how to strengthen intersectoral coordination by working together with other sectors (e.g. child welfare/protection, education) and improve options and outcomes for children and adolescents who are referred to other services.
- Document and evaluate field-based practices of programme implementers that could offer valuable lessons learnt about implementation of services.

7. Dissemination, implementation, monitoring and evaluation

The dissemination and implementation of this guideline will build on the work that has gone into disseminating and implementing the WHO guideline for responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence against women (2013) (39). The guideline for women has been widely rolled out through several regional dissemination workshops, including in countries from east and southern Africa, Asia-Pacific, the Middle-East, north Africa and the Caribbean. Several countries have used the 2013 guidelines to update their national guidelines or protocols, and have requested guidance to address child and adolescent sexual abuse, which provides an entry point for disseminating and implementing this guideline.

A formal knowledge-to-action framework will also be used to disseminate the guideline for responding to children and adolescents who have been sexually abused. This guideline will be disseminated through a broad network of partners, including ministries of health; other United Nations agencies (e.g. United Nations Children’s Fund [UNICEF], United Nations Population Fund [UNFPA]); nongovernmental organizations; global initiatives on violence against women (e.g. Essential Services Package for violence against women and girls) and on violence against children (e.g. Together for Girls, Global Partnership to Prevent Violence against Children, PEPFAR’s DREAMS initiative and the Adolescent Girls and Young Women Catalytic Initiative of the Global Fund for AIDS, TB and Malaria), as well as on adolescent health and reproductive health (e.g. implementing best practices or IBP); professional associations; and WHO collaborating centres. It will also be published on the WHO Reproductive Health Library and disseminated through webinars, as well as through relevant conferences.

To facilitate the uptake of this guideline, derivative products will be developed, including an update of the clinical handbook for responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence against women (265) that will include specific considerations for children and adolescents who have been sexually abused. The recommendations contained in this guideline will also be included in the consolidated guidelines for responding to child maltreatment (i.e. addressing physical abuse, emotional abuse and neglect) under development by the WHO Department for Management of Noncommunicable Diseases, Disability, Violence and Injury Prevention.

A systematic and formal knowledge-to-action framework will be applied to the implementation of this guideline, which involves the following steps:

- introduction of the guideline to national stakeholders through a participatory and consensus-driven process, which involves identifying whether existing national guidelines or protocols need to be updated or new guidelines need to be developed;
- adaptation of the guideline to context, based on inputs from national stakeholders so that it can meet the needs of the country and take into account available human and financial resources, the organization of the health system, national laws and policies, clinical guidance and cultural and social factors. It is important that the adaptation process and any changes made, including to those recommendations that are conditional, are explicit and conducted in a transparent manner;

- use of updated or adapted national guidelines to train health-care providers in selected sites;
- monitoring of whether the knowledge and skills of health-care providers has improved, and documenting lessons learnt and barriers faced;
- on the basis of lessons learnt, identifying with national stakeholders how to further roll out the guideline; it is important that such a process identifies and addresses barriers that need to be addressed for creating an enabling environment for health-care providers to deliver clinical care.

The aim of such a process is to ensure a systematic approach to facilitate uptake and scale-up of guidelines, and to identify lessons learnt that can be applied in other settings. The monitoring and evaluation of the implementation process is a critical component of ensuring not only that health-care providers are improving their knowledge and skills but also that the health system is delivering quality care to children and adolescents experiencing abuse. Information can be gathered through periodic evaluations of service delivery, including by assessing how children and adolescents experience the care they receive and whether there has been improved and timely uptake of services over time. As much as possible, indicators that are to be reported internationally (266) are to be based on existing agreed indicators, and these include:

- the number of countries that have developed or updated their national guidelines or protocols or standard operating procedures for the health-system response to intimate partner violence and/or sexual violence and/or child maltreatment, consistent with international human rights standards and WHO guidelines;
- the number of countries that provide comprehensive post-rape care in a medical facility/department in every territorial and/or administrative unit, consistent with WHO guidelines.

8. Updating the guideline

This guideline will be updated in 7–10 years, or following the identification of new evidence that reflects the need for changing any recommendations. Where possible, the timing of the updates will also consider any opportunities to produce consolidated guidelines for children and adolescents and the response to violence. WHO welcomes suggestions regarding additional topics for inclusion in future guidelines. Please email these to:

reproductivehealth@who.int.

References

1. Health for the world's adolescents: a second chance in the second decade. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (<http://apps.who.int/adolescent/second-decade/>, accessed 13 September 2017).
2. Convention on the Rights of the Child. New York: United Nations; 1989 (<http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/ProfessionalInterest/crc.pdf>, accessed 13 September 2017).
3. Report of the Consultation on Child Abuse Prevention, WHO, Geneva, 29–31 March 1999. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1999 (WHO/HSC/PVI/99.1; http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/65900/1/WHO_HSC_PVI_99.1.pdf, accessed 13 September 2017).
4. Guidelines for medico-legal care for victims of sexual violence. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003 (<http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/42788/1/924154628X.pdf>, accessed 13 September 2017).
5. mhGAP intervention guide for mental, neurological and substance use disorders in non-specialized health settings. Version 2.0 2016. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (<http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/250239/1/9789241549790-eng.pdf>, accessed 13 September 2017).
6. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health. Post-traumatic stress disorder: the management of PTSD in adults and children in primary and secondary care. London: Royal College of Psychiatrists; 2005.
7. Bisson J, Andrew M. Psychological treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005;(2):CD003388.
8. Cohen JA, Mannarino AP, Deblinger E. Child and parent trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy manual. Philadelphia: Drexel University, College of Medicine; 2001.
9. International Rescue Committee, United Nations Children's Fund. Caring for child survivors of sexual abuse: guidelines for health and psychosocial service providers in humanitarian settings. New York: International Rescue Committee; 2012 (https://www.unicef.org/pacificislands/IRC_CCSGuide_FullGuide_lowres.pdf, accessed 13 September 2017).
10. Psychological first aid: guide for field workers. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44615/1/9789241548205_eng.pdf, accessed 13 September 2017).
11. Gender mainstreaming for health managers: a practical approach. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011 (http://www.who.int/gender-equity-rights/knowledge/health_managers_guide/en/, accessed 13 September 2017).
12. World report on violence and health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2002 (http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/global_campaign/en/chap6.pdf, accessed 4 October 2017).
13. Stoltenborgh M, van Ijzendoorn MH, Euser EM, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ. A global perspective on child sexual abuse: meta-analysis of prevalence around the world. *Child Maltreat.* 2011;16(2):79–101. doi:0.1177/1077559511403920.
14. Barth J, Bermetz L, Heim E, Trelle S, Tonia T. The current prevalence of child sexual abuse worldwide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Public Health.* 2013;58(3):469–83. doi:10.1007/s00038-012-0426-1.
15. Sumner S, Mercy JA, Saul J, Motsa-Nzuza N, Kwesigabo G, Buluma R et al. Prevalence of sexual violence against children and use of social services – seven countries, 2007–2013. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2015;64(21):565–9.

16. Policy brief: Zambia health and wellbeing survey (H-well). Lusaka: Government of Zambia; 2014 (<http://www.togetherforgirls.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/PS.HWELL-Zambia-Data-Brief.pdf>, accessed 13 September 2017).
17. Violence against children survey in Lao PDR: preliminary report. Vientiane: Lao Statistics Bureau; 2016 (https://www.unicef.org/laos/VAC_preliminary_report_ENG_FINAL_-_30_May_2016.pdf, accessed 13 September 2017).
18. Finkelhor D, Shattuck A, Turner HA, Hamby SL. The lifetime prevalence of child sexual abuse and sexual assault assessed in late adolescence. *J Adolesc Health*. 2014;55(3):329–33. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.12.026.
19. Maniglio R. The impact of child sexual abuse on health: a systematic review of reviews. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2009;29(7):647–57. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2009.08.003.
20. Berkowitz CD. Medical consequences of child sexual abuse. *Child Abuse Negl*. 1998;22(6):541–50; discussion 551–4.
21. Reading R, Rannan-Eliya Y. Evidence for sexual transmission of genital herpes in children. *Arch Dis Child*. 2007;92(7):608–13.
22. Keke X. HIV prevalence in children who have been sexually assaulted and the impact on the child and family. In: 14th International Congress on Child Abuse and Neglect, International Society for Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect, 7–10 July 2002, Denver, United States of America.
23. Lindegren ML, Hanson IC, Hammett TA, Beil J, Fleming PL, Ward JW. Sexual abuse of children: intersection with the HIV epidemic. *Pediatrics*. 1998;102(4):e46.
24. Zierler S, Feingold L, Laufer D, Velentgas P, Kantrowitz-Gordon I, Mayer K. Adult survivors of childhood sexual abuse and subsequent risk of HIV infection. *Am J Public Health*. 1991;81(5): 572–5.
25. Richter L, Komárek A, Desmond C, Celentano D, Morin S, Sweat M, Chariyalertsak S et al. Reported physical and sexual abuse in childhood and adult HIV risk behaviour in three African countries: findings from Project Accept (HPTN-043). *AIDS Behav*. 2014;18(2):381–9. doi:10.1007/s10461-013-0439-7.
26. Senn TE, Carey MP, Venable PA. Childhood and adolescent sexual abuse and subsequent sexual risk behavior: evidence from controlled studies, methodological critique, and suggestions for research. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2008;28(5):711–35.
27. Jones DJ, Lewis T, Litrownik A, Thompson R, Proctor LJ, Isbell P et al., Linking childhood sexual abuse and early adolescent risk behavior: the intervening role of internalizing and externalizing problems. *J Abnorm Child Psychol*. 2013;41(1):139–50. doi:10.1007/s10802-012-9656-1.
28. Chen LP, Murad MH, Paras ML, Colbenson KM, Sattler AL, Goranson EN et al., Sexual abuse and lifetime diagnosis of psychiatric disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2010;85(7):618–29. doi:10.4065/mcp.2009.0583.
29. Banyard VL, Williams, LM, Siegel JA. The long-term mental health consequences of child sexual abuse: an exploratory study of the impact of multiple traumas in a sample of women. *J Trauma Stress*. 2001;14(4):697–715.
30. Bonomi AE, Anderson ML, Rivara FP, Cannon EA, Fishman PA, Carrell D et al. Health care utilization and costs associated with childhood abuse. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2008;23(3):294–9. doi:10.1007/s11606-008-0516-1.
31. Edwards VJ, Freyd JJ, Dube SR, Anda RF, Felitti VJ. Health outcomes by closeness of sexual abuse perpetrator: a test of betrayal trauma theory. *J Aggress Maltreat Trauma*. 2012;21(2):133–48. doi:10.1080/10926771.2012.648100.
32. Trickett PK, Noll JG, Putnam FW. The impact of sexual abuse on female development: lessons from a multigenerational, longitudinal research study. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2011;23(2):453–76. doi:10.1017/S0954579411000174.
33. Dube SR, Anda RF, Whitfield CL, Brown DW, Felitti VJ, Dong M et al. Long-term consequences of childhood sexual abuse by gender of victim. *Am J Prev Med*. 2005;28(5):430–8.

34. Violence against children in Kenya: findings from a 2010 national survey. Summary findings and response plan 2013–2018. Nairobi: UNICEF Kenya; 2012 (<http://www.labour.go.ke/resources/category/22-field-servies-section.html?download=159:vac-study-response-plan-2013%E2%80%932018>, accessed 13 September 2017).
35. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, US Department of Health and Human Services, PEPFAR, Republic of Haiti, Together for Girls, Interuniversity Institute for Research and Development. Violence against children in Haiti: findings from a national survey 2012. New York: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention US Department of Health and Human Services; 2014 (<https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/violence-haiti.pdf>, accessed 13 September 2017).
36. Finkelhor D, Ormrod R, Turner H, Hamby S. School, police, and medical authority involvement with children who have experienced victimization. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2011;165(1):9–15. doi:10.1001/archpediatrics.2010.240.
37. Akinlusi FM, Rabiou KA, Olawepo TA, Adewunmi AA, Ottun TA, Akinola OI. Sexual assault in Lagos, Nigeria: a five year retrospective review. *BMC Women's Health.* 2014;14(1):115. doi:10.1186/1472-6874-14-115.
38. Golan A, Dishi-Galitzky M, Barda J, Lurie S. The care of sexual assault victims: the first regional center in Israel – 10 years experience. *Isr Med Assoc.* 2012;14(11):658–61.
39. Responding to intimate partner violence and sexual violence against women: WHO clinical and policy guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/85240/1/9789241548595_eng.pdf, accessed 13 September 2017).
40. WHO handbook for guidelines development, 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (<http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s22083en/s22083en.pdf>, accessed 13 September 2017).
41. GRADE working group (<http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/>, accessed 13 September 2017).
42. World Health Organization. Declaration of interests for WHO experts – forms for submission (<http://www.who.int/about/declaration-of-interests/en/>, accessed 13 September 2017).
43. PRISMA: transparent reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses (<http://prisma-statement.org/PRISMAStatement/Default.aspx>, accessed 13 September 2017).
44. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women. New York: United Nations; 1979 (<http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/ProfessionalInterest/cedaw.pdf>, accessed 13 September 2017).
45. Moore L, Kirk S. A literature review of children's and young people's participation in decisions relating to health care. *J Clin Nurs.* 2010;19(15–16):2215–25. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.03161.x.
46. Campbell R, Greeson MR, Fehler-Cabral G. With care and compassion: adolescent sexual assault victims' experiences in sexual assault nurse examiner programs. *J Forensic Nurs.* 2013;9(2):68–75. doi:10.1097/JFN.0b013e31828badfa.
47. Establishing a comprehensive health sector response to sexual assault. Mumbai: Centre for Enquiry into Health and Allied Themes; 2012 (<http://www.cehat.org/go/uploads/Publications/R87%20Establishing%20a%20Comprehensive%20Health%20Sector%20Response%20to%20Sexual%20Assault.pdf>, accessed 13 September 2017).
48. Cangwa MC, Pather MK. The management of sexual assault victims at Odi District Hospital in the North West Province: how can the quality of hospital care be improved? *S Afr Fam Pract.* 2008;50(6):45. doi:10.1080/20786204.2008.10873783/.
49. Chung CY, Chan GLTL, Yeung SKSL, Fu LS. A review on multi-disciplinary intervention by child protection professionals in child sexual abuse cases in Hong Kong. *Asian Pac J Soc Work.* 2002;12(1):97–111. doi:10.1080/21650993.2002.9755890.
50. Collings SJ. Professional services for child rape survivors: a child-centred perspective on helpful and harmful experiences. *J Child Adolesc Ment Health.* 2011;23(1):5–15. doi:10.2989/17280583.2011.594244.

51. Davies E, Seymour F. Medical evaluations in cases of suspected child sexual abuse: Referrals and perceptions. *N Z Med J*. 2001;114(1136):334–5.
52. Davies E, Seymour F, Read J. Children's and primary caretakers' perceptions of the sexual abuse investigation process: a New Zealand example. *J Child Sex Abus*. 2001;9(2):41–56. doi:10.1300/J070v09n02_03.
53. Denis C, Seyller M, Chariot P. Expectations and perceptions of care among victims of sexual assault who first seek care from emergency, primary care and gynaecological doctors. *Emerg Med J*. 2016;33(2):134–8. doi:10.1136/emermed-2015-204655.
54. Du Mont J, Macdonald S, White M, Turner L, White D, Kaplan S, Smith T. Client satisfaction with nursing-led sexual assault and domestic violence services in Ontario. *J Forensic Nurs*. 2014;10(3):122–34. doi:10.1097/JFN.0000000000000035.
55. Du Mont J, Macdonald S, Kosa D, Smith T. Non-offending guardian assessment of hospital-based sexual abuse/assault services for children. *J Forensic Nurs*. 2016;12(1):35–8. doi:10.1097/JFN.0000000000000101.
56. Funston L. Aboriginal and Torres Strait islander worldviews and cultural safety transforming sexual assault service provision for children and young people. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2013;10(9):3818–33. doi:10.3390/ijerph10093818.
57. Girardet R, Giacobbe L, Bolton K, Lahoti S, McNeese M. Unmet health care needs among children evaluated for sexual assault. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2006;160(1):70–3.
58. Jones LM, Cross TP, Walsh WA, Simone M. Do children's advocacy centers improve families' experiences of child sexual abuse investigations? *Child Abuse Negl*. 2007;31(10):1069–85.
59. Jones LM, Atoro KE, Walsh WA, Cross TP, Shadoin AL, Magnuson S. Nonoffending caregiver and youth experiences with child sexual abuse investigations. *J Interpers Violence*. 2010;25(2):291–314. doi:10.1177/0886260509334394.
60. Palusci VJ, Cox EO, Shatz EM, Schultze JM. Urgent medical assessment after child sexual abuse. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2006;30(4): 367–80.
61. Pillai M. An evaluation of 'confirmatory' medical opinion given to English courts in 14 cases of alleged child sexual abuse. *J Forensic Leg Med*. 2007;14(8): 503–14.
62. Röhrs S. I feel for rape survivors, but I don't have the time. I'm always running. Barriers to accessing post-rape health care in South Africa. Cape Town: University of Cape Town, Gender, Health and Justice Research Unit; 2014 (<http://genderlinks.org.za/gmdc/research/i-feel-for-rape-survivors-but-i-dont-have-the-time-im-always-running-barriers-to-accessing-post-rape-health-care-in-south-africa-2014-02-03/>, accessed 13 September 2017).
63. Rosenthal S, Feiring C, Taska L. Emotional support and adjustment over a year's time following sexual abuse discovery. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2003;27(6):641–61.
64. Runyon MK, Spandorfer ED, Schroeder CM. Cognitions and distress in caregivers after their child's sexual abuse disclosure. *J Child Sex Abus*. 2014;23(2):146–59. doi:10.1080/10538712.2014.869291.
65. Sowmya BT, Seshadri SP, Srinath S, Girimaji S, Sagar JV. Clinical characteristics of children presenting with history of sexual abuse to a tertiary care centre in India. *Asian J Psychiatry*. 2016;19: 44–9. doi:10.1016/j.ajp.2015.12.007.
66. Watkeys JM, Price LD, Upton PM, Maddocks A. The timing of medical examination following an allegation of sexual abuse: is this an emergency? *Arch Disease Child*. 2008;93(10): 851–6. doi:10.1136/adc.2007.123604.
67. Wangamati CK, Combs Thorsen V, Gele AA, Sundby J. Postrape care services to minors in Kenya: are the services healing or hurting survivors? *Int J Womens Health*. 2016;8:249–9. doi:10.2147/IJWH.S108316.
68. World Health Organization, United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Strengthening the medico-legal response to sexual violence. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/197498/1/WHO_RHR_15.24_eng.pdf?ua=1, accessed 13 September 2017).

69. Ahern EC, Hershkowitz I, Lamb ME, Blasbalg U, Winstanley A. Support and reluctance in the pre-substantive phase of alleged child abuse victim investigative interviews: revised versus standard NICHD protocols. *Behav Sci Law*. 2014;32(6):762–74. doi:10.1002/bsl.2149.
70. Anderson GD, Anderson JN, Gilgun JF. The influence of narrative practice techniques on child behaviors in forensic interviews. *J Child Sex Abus*. 2014;23(6):615–34. doi:10.1080/10538712.2014.932878.
71. Anderson GD. The continuum of disclosure: exploring factors predicting tentative disclosure of child sexual abuse allegations during forensic interviews and the implications for practice, policy, and future research. *J Child Sex Abus*. 2016;25(4):382–402. doi:10.1080/10538712.2016.1153559.
72. Andrews SJ, Lamb ME. The effects of age and delay on responses to repeated questions in forensic interviews with children alleging sexual abuse. *Law Hum Behav*. 2014;38(2):171–80. doi:10.1037/lhb0000064.
73. Azzopardi C, Madigan S, Kirkland-Burke M. Sexual abuse forensic evaluation with young children: program outcomes and predictors of disclosure. *J Child Custody*. 2014;11(4):304–24. doi:10.1080/15379418.2014.988901.
74. Castelli P, Goodman GS. Children's perceived emotional behavior at disclosure and prosecutors' evaluations. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2014;38(9):1521–32. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2014.02.010.
75. Fontes LA, Tishelman AC. Language competence in forensic interviews for suspected child sexual abuse. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2016;58:51–62. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2016.06.014.
76. Hershkowitz I, Orbach Y, Lamb ME, Sternberg KJ, Horowitz D. Dynamics of forensic interviews with suspected abuse victims who do not disclose abuse. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2006;30(7):753–69.
77. Hershkowitz I. Socioemotional factors in child sexual abuse investigations. *Child Maltreat*. 2009;14(2):172–81. doi:10.1177/1077559508326224.
78. Katz C, Barnett Z, Hershkowitz I. The effect of drawing on children's experiences of investigations following alleged child abuse. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2014;38(5):858–67. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2014.01.003.
79. Katz C. 'Stand by me': the effect of emotional support on children's testimonies. *Br J Soc Work*. 2015;45(1):349–62. doi:10.1093/bjsw/bct137.
80. Krause-Parello CA, Gulick EE. Forensic interviews for child sexual abuse allegations: an investigation into the effects of animal-assisted intervention on stress biomarkers. *J Child Sexual Abuse*. 2015;24(8):873–86. doi:10.1080/10538712.2015.1088916.
81. Lewy J, Cyr M, Dion J. Impact of interviewers' supportive comments and children's reluctance to cooperate during sexual abuse disclosure. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2015;43:112–22. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2015.03.002.
82. Sayfan L, Mitchell EB, Goodman GS, Eisen ML, Qin J. Children's expressed emotions when disclosing maltreatment. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2008;32(11):1026–36. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2008.03.004.
83. Teoh YS, Lamb M. Interviewer demeanor in forensic interviews of children. *Psychol Crime Law*. 2013;19(2):145–59. doi:10.1080/1068316X.2011.614610.
84. Olson RM, García-Moreno C. Virginity testing: a systematic review. *Reprod Health*. 2017;14(1):61. doi:10.1186/s12978-017-0319-0.
85. Berenson AB, Wiemann CM, Rickert VI. Use of video eyeglasses to decrease anxiety among children undergoing genital examinations. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1998;178(6):1341–5.
86. Duncan MKW, Sanger M. Coping with the pediatric anogenital exam. *J Child Adolesc Psychiatr Nurs*. 2004;17(3):126–35.
87. Gulla K, Fenheim GE, Myhre AK, Lydersen S. Non-abused preschool children's perception of an anogenital examination. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2007;31(8):885–94.
88. Gully KJ, Hansen K, Britton H, Langley M, McBride KK. The child sexual abuse experience and the child sexual abused medical examination: knowing what correlations exist. *J Child Sex Abus*. 2000;9(1):15–27. doi:10.1300/J070v09n01_02.

89. Hornor G, Scribano P, Curran S, Stevens J. Emotional response to the ano-genital examination of suspected sexual abuse. *J Forensic Nurs.* 2009;5(3):124–30. doi:10.1111/j.1939-3938.2009.01045.x.
90. Lynch L, Faust J. Reduction of distress in children undergoing sexual abuse medical examination. *J Pediatr.* 1998;133(2):296–9.
91. Marks S, Lamb R, Tzioumi D. Do no more harm: the psychological stress of the medical examination for alleged child sexual abuse. *J Paediatr Child Health.* 2009;45(3):125–32.
92. Mears CJ, Heflin AH, Finkel MA, Deblinger E, Steer RA. Adolescents' responses to sexual abuse evaluation including the use of video colposcopy. *J Adolesc Health.* 2003;33(1):18–24.
93. Ofir S, Tener D, Lev-Wiesel R, On A, Lang-Franco N. The therapy beneath the fun: Medical clowning during invasive examinations on children. *Clin Pediatr (Phila).* 2016;55(1):56–65. doi:10.1177/0009922815598143.
94. Palusci VJ, Cyrus TA. Reaction to videocolposcopy in the assessment of child sexual abuse. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2001;25(11):1535–46.
95. Rheingold AA, Danielson CK, Davidson TM, Self-Brown S, Resnick H. Video intervention for child and caregiver distress related to the child sexual abuse medical examination: a randomized controlled pilot study. *J Child Family Stud.* 2013;22(3):386–97. doi:10.1007/s10826-012-9591-3.
96. Rheingold AA, Davidson TM, Resnick H, Self-Brown S, Danielson CK. The relationship between knowledge and child and caregiver distress during the medical examination for child sexual abuse. *J Child Sex Abus.* 2013;22(5):552–71. doi:10.1080/10538712.2013.800937.
97. Scribano PV, Hornor G, Rhoda D, Curran S, Stevens J. Multi-informant assessment of anxiety regarding ano-genital examinations for suspected child sexual abuse (CSA). *Child Abuse Negl.* 2010;34(8):602–9. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2010.01.006.
98. Siegel RM, Hill TD, Henderson VA, Daniels K. Comparison of an intraoral camera with colposcopy in sexually abused children. *Clin Pediatr (Phila).* 1999;38(6):375–6. doi:10.1177/000992289903800611.
99. Steward MS, Schmitz M, Steward DS, Joye NR, Reinhart M. Children's anticipation of and response to colposcopic examination. *Child Abuse Negl.* 1995;19(8):997–1005.
100. Tener D, Lev-Wiesel R, Franco NL, Ofir S. Laughing through this pain: medical clowning during examination of sexually abused children: an innovative approach. *J Child Sex Abuse.* 2010;19(2):128–40. doi:10.1080/10538711003622752.
101. Waibel-Duncan MK, Sanger M. Understanding and reacting to the anogenital exam: Implications for patient preparation. *Child Abuse Negl.* 1999;23(3):281–6.
102. Waibel-Duncan MK. Medical fears following alleged child abuse. *J Child Adolesc Psychiatr Nurs.* 2001;14(4):179–85.
103. Waibel-Duncan MK. Identifying competence in the context of the pediatric anogenital exam. *J Child Adolesc Psychiatr Nurs.* 2004;17(1):21–8; 44.
104. Bar-on ME, Zanga JR. Child abuse: a model for the use of structured clinical forms. *Pediatrics.* 1996;98(3):429–33.
105. Greaney H, Ryan J. Straddle injuries – is current practice safe? *Eur J Emerg Med.* 1998;5(4):421–4.
106. Mian M, Schryer CF, Spafford MM, Joosten J, Lingard L. Current practice in physical child abuse forensic reports: a preliminary exploration. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2009;33(10):679–83. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2009.06.001.
107. Socolar RRS, Raines B, Chen-Mok M, Runyan DK, Green C, Paterno S. Intervention to improve physician documentation and knowledge of child sexual abuse: a randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics.* 1998;101(5):817–24.
108. Socolar RRS, Champion M, Green C. Physicians' documentation of sexual abuse of children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 1996;150(2):191–6.
109. Sague-Castillo M. Legal outcomes of sexually abused children evaluated at the Philippine General Hospital Child Protection Unit. *Child Abuse Neglect.* 2009;33(3):193–202. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2008.09.004.

110. Klot JF, Auerbach JD, Veronese F, Brown G, Pei A, Wira CR et al. Greentree White Paper: sexual violence, genitoanal injury, and HIV: priorities for research, policy, and practice. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2012;28(11):1379–88. doi:10.1089/AID.2012.0273.
111. McLean I, Roberts SA, White C, Paul S. Female genital injuries resulting from consensual and non-consensual vaginal intercourse. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2011;204(1–3):27–33. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.04.049.
112. Adams JA, Girardin B, Faugno D. Adolescent sexual assault. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2001;14(4):175–80.
113. Menick DM, Ngoh F. [Seroprevalence of HIV infection in sexually abused children in Cameroon]. *Med Trop (Mars)*. 2003;63(2):155–8.
114. Lalor K. Child sexual abuse in sub-Saharan Africa: a literature review. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2004;28(4):439–60.
115. Guidelines on post-exposure prophylaxis for HIV and the use of co-trimoxazole prophylaxis for HIV-related infections among adults, adolescents and children. Recommendations for a public health approach. December 2014 supplement to the 2013 consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (<http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/arv2013/december2014supplementARV.pdf>, accessed September 14 2017).
116. Post-exposure prophylaxis to prevent HIV infection: joint WHO/ILO guidelines on post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) to prevent HIV infection. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/43838/1/9789241596374_eng.pdf, accessed September 14 2017).
117. Ford N, Irvine C, Shubber Z, Baggaley R, Beanland R, Vitoria M et al. Adherence to HIV postexposure prophylaxis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28(18):2721–7. doi:10.1097/QAD.0000000000000505.
118. Abrahams N, Jewkes R, Lombard C, Mathews S, Campbell J, Meel B. Impact of telephonic psychosocial support on adherence to post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) after rape. *AIDS care*. 2010;22(10):1173–81. doi:10.1080/09540121003692185.
119. Roland ME, Neilands TB, Krone MR, Coates TJ, Franses K, Chesney MA et al. A randomized noninferiority trial of standard versus enhanced risk reduction and adherence counseling for individuals receiving post-exposure prophylaxis following sexual exposures to HIV. *Clinical Infect Dis*. 2011;53(1):76–83. doi:10.1093/cid/cir333.
120. Bentz L, Enel P, Dunais B, Durant J, Poizot-Martin I, Tourette-Turgis C et al. Evaluating counseling outcome on adherence to prophylaxis and follow-up after sexual HIV-risk exposure: a randomized controlled trial. *AIDS Care*. 2010;22(12):1509–16. doi:10.1080/09540121.2010.484457.
121. Abrahams N, Jewkes R. Barriers to post exposure prophylaxis (PEP) completion after rape: a South African qualitative study. *Cult Health Sex*. 2010;12(5):471–84. doi:10.1080/13691050903556316.
122. Babl FE, Cooper ER, Damon B, Louie T, Kharasch S, Harris J-A. HIV postexposure prophylaxis for children and adolescents. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2000;18(3):282–7.
123. Collings SJ, Bugwandeen SR, Wiles WA. HIV post-exposure prophylaxis for child rape survivors in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa: who qualifies and who complies? *Child Abuse Negl*. 2008;32(4):477–83. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2007.05.007.
124. Du Mont J, Myhr TL, Husson H, Macdonald S, Rachlis A, Loutfy MR. HIV postexposure prophylaxis use among Ontario female adolescent sexual assault victims: a prospective analysis. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2008;35(12):973–8. doi:10.1097/OLQ.0b013e3181824f3c.
125. Ellis JC, Ahmad S, Molyneux EM. Introduction of HIV post-exposure prophylaxis for sexually abused children in Malawi. *Arch Dis Child*. 2005;90(12):1297–9.
126. Garcia MT, Figueiredo RM, Moretti ML, Resende MR, Bedoni AJ, Papaiordanou PMO. Postexposure prophylaxis after sexual assaults: a prospective cohort study. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2005;32(4):214–9.

127. Linden JA, Oldeg P, Mehta SD, McCabe KK, LaBelle C. HIV postexposure prophylaxis in sexual assault: current practice and patient adherence to treatment recommendations in a large urban teaching hospital. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2005;12(7):640–6.
128. Myles JE, Hirozawa A, Katz MH, Kimmerling R, Bamberger JD. Postexposure prophylaxis for HIV after sexual assault. *JAMA*. 2000;284(12):1516–8.
129. Neu N, Heffernan-Vacca S, Millery M, Stimell M, Brown J. Postexposure prophylaxis for HIV in children and adolescents after sexual assault: a prospective observational study in an urban medical center. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2007;34(2):65–8.
130. Olshen E, Hsu K, Woods ER, Harper M, Harnisch B, Samples CL. Use of human immunodeficiency virus postexposure prophylaxis in adolescent sexual assault victims. *Arch Paediatr Adolesc Med*. 2006;160(7):674–80.
131. Roland ME, Myer L, Martin LJ, Maw A, Batra P, Arend E et al. Preventing human immunodeficiency virus infection among sexual assault survivors in Cape Town, South Africa: an observational study. *AIDS Behav*. 2012;16(4):990–8. doi:10.1007/s10461-011-9892-3.
132. Schremmer RD, Swanson D, Kraly K. Human immunodeficiency virus postexposure prophylaxis in child and adolescent victims of sexual assault. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2005;21(8):502–6.
133. Holmes MM, Resnick HS, Kilpatrick DG, Best CL. Rape-related pregnancy: estimates and descriptive characteristics from a national sample of women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;175(2):320–5.
134. Medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use, 5th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2015 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/181468/1/9789241549158_eng.pdf?ua=1, accessed 11 October 2017).
135. Safe abortion: technical and policy guidance for health systems, 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/70914/1/9789241548434_eng.pdf?ua=1, accessed 14 September 2017).
136. de Villiers FP, Prentice MA, Bergh AM, Miller SD. Sexually transmitted disease surveillance in a child abuse clinic. *S Afr Med J*. 1992;81(2):84–6.
137. Argent AC, Lachman PI, Hanslo D, Bass D. Sexually transmitted diseases in children and evidence of sexual abuse. *Child Abuse Negl*. 1995;19(10):1303–10.
138. Ahmed HJ, Ilardi I, Antognoli A, Leone F, Sebastiani A, Amiconi G. An epidemic of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in a Somali orphanage. *Int J STD AIDS*. 1992;3(1):52–3.
139. Pandhi RK, Khanna N, Sekhri R. Sexually transmitted diseases in children. *Indian Pediatr*. 1995;32(1):27–30.
140. Fayemiwo SA, Ayede IA, Olusanya OO, Akinbami OF, Bakare RA. P1-S2.28 Sexually transmitted infections in sexually abused children and adolescents in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2011;87(Suppl 1):A134-A.
141. Adomeh DI, Abdulazeez AA, Okoye RN, Usman HA. Frequency of infections in reported cases of rape among underaged children in Potiskum, Nigeria. *Curr Pediatr Res*. 2007;11(1–2):35–40.
142. Ononge S, Wandabwa J, Kiondo P, Busingye R. Clinical presentation and management of alleged sexually assaulted females at Mulago hospital, Kampala, Uganda. *Afr Health Sci*. 2005;5(1):50–4.
143. Pitche P, Kombate K, Gbadoe AD, Tchangai-Walla K. [Anogenital warts in young children in hospital consultation in Lome (Togo). Role of transmission by sexual abuse]. *Med Trop (Mars)*. 2001;61(2):158–62.
144. Baribwira C, Muteganya D, Ndiokubwayo JB, Moreno JL, Nduwimana M, Ruyikiri T. [Aspects of sexually transmissible diseases in young children in Burundi: gonorrhoea caused by sexual abuse]. *Med Trop (Mars)*. 1994;54(3):231–3.
145. Pacharabumrung P, Teerapong S, Suwannarurk K, Bhamarapratana K, Sangviroon A, Napakorn K. Physical health consequences of child sexual assault in Police General Hospital. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2014;97(12):1227–33.

146. Capitulo R. Commercial sexual exploitation of children (CSEC): profiles of female victims, contributing factors, life experiences and sexually transmitted infections. *Int J Gynecol Obstet*. 2012;119S3:S306. doi:10.1016/S0020-7292(12)60560-8.
147. Al-Mahroos F, Al-Amer E. Reported child sexual abuse in Bahrain: 2000–2009. *Ann Saudi Med*. 2011;31(4):376–82. doi:10.4103/0256-4947.83218.
148. Burzin K, Parmar K, Rao M, Bilimoria F. Profile of sexually transmitted diseases in pediatric patients. *Indian J Sex Transm Dis AIDS*. 2007;28(2):76–8.
149. Jo KH, Yoon YJ, Lee SA, Kim JW, Won HS. Gynecologic aspects of child sexual abuse. *Korean J Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;47(1):132–8.
150. Mendiratta V, Harjai B, Koranne RV. Profile of STDs in children: a retrospective hospital based study from Delhi. *Indian J Sex Transm Dis*. 2004;25(2):67–9.
151. Pandhi D, Kumar S, Reddy BSN. Sexually transmitted diseases in children. *J Dermatol*. 2003;30(4):314–20.
152. Bhogal C, Chauhan S, Baruah M. Pattern of childhood STDs in a major hospital of East Delhi. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol*. 2002;68(4):210–2.
153. Rahman G, Ocampo D, Rubinstein A, Risso P. Prevalence of vulvovaginitis and relation to physical findings in girls assessed for suspected child sexual abuse. *Arch Argent Pediatr*. 2015;113(5):390–6.
154. Zambon MP, Jacintho AC, Medeiros MM, Guglielminetti R, Marmo DB. Domestic violence against children and adolescents: a challenge. *Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992)*. 2012;58(4):465–71.
155. Onostre-Guerra R. Abuso sexual en niñas y niños: consideraciones clínicas. *Rev Chil Pediatr*. 2000;71(4):368–75.
156. Figueiredo PAL, Passos MRL, Pinheiro VMdS, Barreto NA, Carvalho AV, de Souza RB. Doenças sexualmente transmissíveis na infância: análise dos casos atendidos no Setor de DST/UFF no período de 1988 a 1996. *DST J Bras Doenças Sex Transm*. 1997;9(6):17–23.
157. Baquerizo M, Ramirez G, Moncayo L, Defilippi D, Fierro G. Relación de las enfermedades de transmisión sexual y abuso sexual en niños menores de 12 años. *DST J Bras Doenças Sex Transm*. 1991;3(2):43–6.
158. Jo S, Shin J, Song KJ, Kim JJ, Hwang KR, Bhally H. Prevalence and correlated factors of sexually transmitted diseases – chlamydia, Neisseria, cytomegalovirus – in female rape victims. *J Sex med*. 2011;8(8):2317–26. doi:10.1111/j.1743-6109.2010.02069.x.
159. Seña AC, Hsu KK, Kellogg N, Girardet R, Christian CW, Linden J et al. Sexual assault and sexually transmitted infections in adults, adolescents, and children. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(Suppl. 8):S856–64. doi:10.1093/cid/civ786.
160. Rogstad K, Thomas A, Williams O, Forster G, Munday P, Robinson A et al. United Kingdom national guideline on the management of sexually transmitted infections and related conditions in children and young people – 2010. Macclesfield: British Association for Sexual Health and HIV; 2010 (<https://www.bashh.org/documents/2674.pdf>, accessed 18 September 2017).
161. WHO guidelines for the treatment of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (<http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/246114/1/9789241549691-eng.pdf?ua=1>, accessed 15 September 2017).
162. WHO guidelines for the treatment of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (<http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/246165/1/9789241549714-eng.pdf?ua=1>, accessed 15 September 2017).
163. WHO guidelines for the treatment of *Treponema pallidum* (syphilis). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (<http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/249572/1/9789241549806-eng.pdf?ua=1>, accessed 15 September 2017).
164. WHO guidelines for the treatment of genital herpes simplex virus. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (<http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/250693/1/9789241549875-eng.pdf?ua=1>, accessed 15 September 2017).

165. Loko Roka J, Van den Bergh R, Au S, De Plecker E, Zachariah R, Manzi M et al. One size fits all? Standardised provision of care for survivors of sexual violence in conflict and post-conflict areas in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *PLOS One*. 2014;9(10):e111096. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111096.
166. Nunthanid S. The study of management results of the service system for survivors of sexual assault in Photharam Hospital during the year 2005–2007. *Srinagarind Med J*. 2008;23(2):179–86.
167. Oshikata CT, Bedone AJ, Faundes A. [Emergency care for women following sexual assault: characteristics of women and six-month post-aggression follow-up]. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2005;21(1):192–9.
168. Siegel RM, Schubert CJ, Myers PA, Shapiro RA. The prevalence of sexually transmitted diseases in children and adolescents evaluated for sexual abuse in Cincinnati: rationale for limited STD testing in prepubertal girls. *Pediatrics*. 1995;96(6):1090–4.
169. Terris-Prestholt F, Vyas S, Kumaranayake L, Mayaud P, Watts C. The costs of treating curable sexually transmitted infections in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2006;33(10 Suppl.):S153–66.
170. Hepatitis B vaccines. WHO position paper – July 2017. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec*. 2017; 92(27):369–92.
171. Human papillomavirus vaccines: WHO position paper, May 2017. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec*. 2017; 92(19):241–68.
172. Guidelines for the management of conditions specifically related to stress. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/85119/1/9789241505406_eng.pdf?ua=1, accessed 15 September 2017).
173. Back S, Lips HM. Child sexual abuse: victim age, victim gender, and observer gender as factors contributing to attributions of responsibility. *Child Abuse Negl*. 1998;22(12):1239–52.
174. Barker-Collo S, Read J. Models of response to childhood sexual abuse: their implications for treatment. *Trauma Violence Abuse*. 2003;4(2):95–111.
175. Gagnier C, Collin-Vezina D. The disclosure experiences of male child sexual abuse survivors. *J Child Sex Abus*. 2016;25(2):221–41. doi:10.1080/10538712.2016.1124308.
176. Sorsoli L, Kia-Keating M, Grossman FK. “I keep that hush-hush”: male survivors of sexual abuse and the challenges of disclosure. *J Couns Psychol*. 2008;55(3):333–45. doi:10.1037/0022-0167.55.3.333.
177. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Child maltreatment: when to suspect maltreatment in under 18s. Update October 2017. London: National Collaborating Centre for Women’s and Children’s Health, 2017 (<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg89/resources/child-maltreatment-when-to-suspect-maltreatment-in-under-18s-pdf-975697287109>, accessed 11 October 2017).
178. Fraser JG, Lloyd SW, Murphy RA, Crowson M, Casanueva C, Zolotor A et al. Child exposure to trauma: comparative effectiveness of interventions addressing maltreatment – executive summary. AHRQ Effective Health Care Program. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2013 (report no 13-EHC002-EF; <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK137801/>, accessed 15 September 2017).
179. Foa EB, McLean CP, Capaldi S, Rosenfield D. Prolonged exposure vs supportive counseling for sexual abuse-related PTSD in adolescent girls: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2013;310(24):2650–7. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.282829.
180. O’Callaghan P, McMullen J, Shannon C, Rafferty H, Black A. A randomized controlled trial of trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy for sexually exploited, war-affected Congolese girls. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2013;52(4):359–69. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2013.01.013.
181. Jabberghaderi N, Greenwald R, Rubin A, Zand SO, Dolatabadi S. A comparison of CBT and EMDR for sexually-abused Iranian girls. *Clinical Psychol Psychother*. 2004;11(5):358–68. doi:10.1002/cpp.395.
182. Trowell J, Kolvin I, Weeramanthri T, Sadowski H, Berelowitz M, Glaser D et al. Psychotherapy for sexually abused girls: psychopathological outcome findings and patterns of change. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2002;180:234–47.

183. McCrone P, Weeramanthri T, Knapp M, Rushton A, Trowell J, Miles G et al. Cost-effectiveness of individual versus group psychotherapy for sexually abused girls. *Child Adolesc Ment Health*. 2005;10(1):26–31. doi:10.1111/j.1475-3588.2005.00113.x.
184. King NJ, Tonge BJ, Mullen P, Myerson N, Heyne D, Rollings S et al. Treating sexually abused children with posttraumatic stress symptoms: a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2000;39(11):1347–55.
185. Berliner L, Saunders BE. Treating fear and anxiety in sexually abused children: results of a controlled 2-year follow-up study. *Child Maltreat*. 1996;1(4):294–309. doi:10.1177/1077559596001004002.
186. Deblinger E, Lippmann J, Steer R. Sexually abused children suffering posttraumatic stress symptoms: initial treatment outcome findings. *Child Maltreat*. 1996;1(4):310–21. doi:10.1177/1077559596001004003.
187. Deblinger E, Steer RA, Lippmann J. Two-year follow-up study of cognitive behavioral therapy for sexually abused children suffering post-traumatic stress symptoms. *Child Abuse Negl*. 1999;23(12):1371–8.
188. Sullivan PM, Scanlan JM, Brookhouser PE, Schulte LE, Knutson JF. The effects of psychotherapy on behavior problems of sexually abused deaf children. *Child Abuse Negl*. 1992;16(2):297–307.
189. Danielson CK, McCart MR, Walsh K, de Arellano MA, White D, Resnick HS. Reducing substance use risk and mental health problems among sexually assaulted adolescents: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *J Fam Psychol*. 2012;26(4):628–35. doi:10.1037/a0028862.
190. Cohen JA, Deblinger E, Mannarino AP, Steer R. A multi-site, randomized controlled trial for children with abuse-related PTSD symptoms. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2004;43(4):393–402. doi:10.1097/00004583-200404000-00005.
191. Deblinger E, Mannarino AP, Cohen JA, Runyon MK, Steer RA. Trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy for children: impact of the trauma narrative and treatment length. *Depress Anxiety*. 2011;28(1):67–75. doi:10.1002/da.20744.
192. Mannarino AP, Cohen JA, Deblinger E, Runyon MK, Steer RA. Trauma-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy for children: sustained impact of treatment 6 and 12 months later. *Child Maltreat*. 2012;17(3):231–41. doi:10.1177/1077559512451787.
193. Deblinger E, Stauffer LB, Steer RA. Comparative efficacies of supportive and cognitive behavioral group therapies for young children who have been sexually abused and their nonoffending mothers. *Child Maltreat*. 2001;6(4):332–43.
194. Cohen JA, Mannarino AP. Predictors of treatment outcome in sexually abused children. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2000;24(7):983–94.
195. Cohen JA, Mannarino AP, Knudsen K. Treating sexually abused children: 1 year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2005;29(2):135–45.
196. Celano M, Hazzard A, Webb C, McCall C. Treatment of traumagenic beliefs among sexually abused girls and their mothers: an evaluation study. *J Abnorm Child Psychol*. 1996;24(1):1–17.
197. Cohen JA, Mannarino AP. A treatment outcome study for sexually abused preschool children: initial findings. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1996;35(1):42–50.
198. Cohen JA, Mannarino AP. Factors that mediate treatment outcome of sexually abused preschool children. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1996;35(10):1402–10.
199. Cohen JA, Mannarino AP. A treatment study for sexually abused preschool children: outcome during a one-year follow-up. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1997;36(9):1228–35.
200. Cohen JA, Mannarino AP. Interventions for sexually abused children: initial treatment outcome findings. *Child Maltreat*. 1998;3(1):17–26. doi:10.1177/1077559598003001002.
201. Cohen JA, Mannarino AP. Factors that mediate treatment outcome of sexually abused preschool children: six- and 12-month follow-up. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1998;37(1):44–51.
202. Hyde C, Bentovim A, Monck E. Some clinical and methodological implications of a treatment outcome study of sexually abused children. *Child Abuse Negl*. 1995;19(11):1387–99.

203. Deblinger E, Mannarino AP, Cohen JA, Steer RA. A follow-up study of a multisite, randomized, controlled trial for children with sexual abuse-related PTSD symptoms. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2006;45(12):1474–84.
204. Gospodarevskaya E, Segal L. Cost-utility analysis of different treatments for post-traumatic stress disorder in sexually abused children. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health*. 2012;6(1):15. doi:10.1186/1753-2000-6-15.
205. Macdonald G, Livingstone N, Hanratty J, McCartan C, Cotmore R, Cary M et al. The effectiveness, acceptability and cost-effectiveness of psychosocial interventions for maltreated children and adolescents: an evidence synthesis. *Health Technol Assess*. 2016;20(69):1–508. doi:10.3310/hta20690.
206. WHO mhGAP guideline update: update of the Mental Health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP) guideline for mental, neurological and substance use disorders. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/204132/1/9789241549417_eng.pdf?ua=1, accessed 15 September 2017).
207. World Health Organization, International Society for Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect. Preventing child maltreatment: a guide to taking action and generating evidence. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/43499/1/9241594365_eng.pdf, accessed 15 September 2017).
208. Feng J-Y, Wu Y-WB. Nurses' intention to report child abuse in Taiwan: a test of the theory of planned behavior. *Res Nurs Health*. 2005;28(4):337–47.
209. Feng J-Y, Chen Y-W, Fetzer S, Feng M-C, Lin C-L. Ethical and legal challenges of mandated child abuse reporters. *Child Youth Serv Rev*. 2012;34(1):276–80. doi:10.1016/j.childyouth.2011.10.026.
210. Beck KA, Ogloff JR. Child abuse reporting in British Columbia: psychologists' knowledge of and compliance with the reporting law. *Prof Psychol Res Pr*. 1995;26(3):245–51.
211. Blakeley J, Ribeiro V. Community health and pediatric nurses' knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors regarding child sexual abuse. *Public Health Nurs*. 1997;14(6):339–45.
212. Fung DS, Chow MH. Doctors' and lawyers' perspectives of child abuse and neglect in Singapore. *Singapore Med J*. 1998;39(4):160–5.
213. González Ortega E, Orgaz Baz B, López Sánchez F. [Childhood sexual behavior as an indicator of sexual abuse: professionals' criteria and biases]. *Psicothema*. 2012;24(3):402–9.
214. Kennel R, Agresti A. Effects of gender and age on psychologists' reporting of child sexual abuse. *Prof Psychol Res Pr*. 1995;26(6):612–5. doi:10.1037/0735-7028.26.6.612.
215. Lee PY, Fraser JA, Chou FH. Nurse reporting of known and suspected child abuse and neglect cases in Taiwan. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci*. 2007;23(3):128–37.
216. Mathews B, Fraser J, Walsh K, Dunne M, Kilby S, Chen L. Queensland nurses' attitudes towards and knowledge of the legislative duty to report child abuse and neglect: results of a state-wide survey. *J Law Med*. 2008;16(2):288–304.
217. Rodríguez CM. Professionals' attitudes and accuracy on child abuse reporting decisions in New Zealand. *J Interpers Violence*. 2002;17(3):320–42. doi:10.1177/0886260502017003006.
218. Violence against children in Nepal: health practitioners' knowledge, attitude, and practice on child abuse and sexual abuse in Nepal. Kathmandu: UNICEF; 2006 (<https://archnet.org/system/publications/contents/7268/original/DPC4386.pdf?1384811355>, accessed 15 September 2017).
219. Collin-Vezina D, De La Sablonniere-Griffin M, Palmer AM, Milne L. A preliminary mapping of individual, relational, and social factors that impede disclosure of childhood sexual abuse. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2015;43:123–34. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2015.03.010.
220. Fontes LA, Plummer C. Cultural issues in disclosures of child sexual abuse. *J Child Sex Abus*. 2010;19(5):491–518. doi:10.1080/10538712.2010.512520.
221. Boehm A, Itzhaky H. The social marketing approach: a way to increase reporting and treatment of sexual assault. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2004;28(3):253–65.

222. Chesshyre E, Molyneux EM. Presentation of child sexual abuse cases to Queen Elizabeth Central Hospital following the establishment of an HIV post-exposure prophylaxis programme. *Malawi Med J.* 2009;21(2):54–8.
223. Chomba E, Murray L, Kautzman M, Haworth A, Kasese-Bota M, Kankasa C et al. Integration of services for victims of child sexual abuse at the university teaching hospital one-stop centre. *J Trop Med.* 2010;2010:pii:864760. doi:10.1155/2010/864760.
224. Gilligan P, Akhtar S. Cultural barriers to the disclosure of child sexual abuse in Asian communities: listening to what women say. *Br J Soc Work.* 2006;36(8):1361–77. doi:10.1093/bjsw/bch309.
225. Hébert M, Lavoie F, Parent N. An assessment of outcomes following parents' participation in a child abuse prevention program. *Violence Vict.* 2002;17(3):355–72.
226. Hoefnagels C, Baartman H. On the threshold of disclosure. The effects of a mass media field experiment. *Child Abuse Negl.* 1997;21(6):557–73.
227. Patterson D, Campbell R. A comparative study of the prosecution of childhood sexual abuse cases: the contributory role of pediatric Forensic Nurse Examiner (FNE) programs. *J Forensic Nurs.* 2009;5(1):38–45. doi:10.1111/j.1939-3938.2009.01029.x.
228. Priebe G, Svedin CG. Child sexual abuse is largely hidden from the adult society. An epidemiological study of adolescents' disclosures. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2008;32(12):1095–108. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2008.04.001.
229. Pullins LG, Jones JD. Parental knowledge of child sexual abuse symptoms. *J Child Sex Abus.* 2006;15(4):1–18.
230. Schaeffer P, Leventhal JM, Asnes AG. Children's disclosures of sexual abuse: learning from direct inquiry. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2011;35(5):343–52. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2011.01.014.
231. Thomas D, Flaherty E, Binns H. Parent expectations and comfort with discussion of normal childhood sexuality and sexual abuse prevention during office visits. *Ambul Pediatr.* 2004;4(3):232–6.
232. Walker-Descartes I, Sealy YM, Laraque D, Rojas M. Caregiver perceptions of sexual abuse and its effect on management after a disclosure. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2011;35(6):437–47. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2011.02.003.
233. Xie QW, Qiao DP, Wang XL. Parent-involved prevention of child sexual abuse: a qualitative exploration of parents' perceptions and practices in Beijing. *J Child Fam Stud.* 2016;25(3):999–1010.
234. Rohr S. Termination of pregnancy and children. *S Afr Med J.* 2011;101(4):210–11.
235. Adams JA, Starling SP, Frasier LD, Palusci VJ, Shapiro RA, Finkel MA et al. Diagnostic accuracy in child sexual abuse medical evaluation: role of experience, training, and expert case review. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2012;36(5):383–92. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2012.01.004.
236. Argent AC, Bass DH, Lachman PI. Child abuse services at a children's hospital in Cape Town, South Africa. *Child Abuse Negl.* 1995;19(11):1313–21.
237. Arnold DH, Spiro DM, Nichols MH, King WD. Availability and perceived competence of pediatricians to serve as child protection team medical consultants: a survey of practicing pediatricians. *South Med J.* 2005;98(4):423–8.
238. Bechtel K, Ryan E, Gallagher D. Impact of sexual assault nurse examiners on the evaluation of sexual assault in a pediatric emergency department. *Pediatr Emerg Care.* 2008;24(7):442–7. doi:10.1097/PEC.0b013e31817de11d.
239. Botash AS, Galloway AE, Booth T, Ploutz-Snyder R, Hoffman-Rosenfeld J, Cahill L. Continuing medical education in child sexual abuse: cognitive gains but not expertise. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2005;159(6):561–6.
240. Ciuffo LL, Rodrigues BM, Tocantins FR. Interdisciplinary action of nurses to children with suspected sexual abuse. *Invest Educ Enferm.* 2014;32(1):112–8. doi:10.1590/S0120-53072014000100013.
241. Cross TP, Jones LM, Walsh WA, Simone M, Kolko D. Child forensic interviewing in children's advocacy centers: empirical data on a practice model. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2007;31(10):1031–52.

242. Donaruma-Kwoh MM, Tran XG, Giardino AP. Do pediatric chief residents recognize details of prepubertal male genital anatomy. *Clin Pediatr*. 2010;49(8):756–9. doi:10.1177/0009922810365726.
243. Dubow SR, Giardino AP, Christian CW, Johnson CF. Do pediatric chief residents recognize details of prepubertal female genital anatomy: a national survey. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2005;29(2):195–205.
244. Duron JF, Cheung M. Impact of repeated questioning on interviewers: learning from a forensic interview training project. *J Child Sex Abuse*. 2016;25(4):347–62. doi:10.1080/10538712.2016.1161687.
245. Edinburgh L, Saewyc E, Levitt C. Caring for young adolescent sexual abuse victims in a hospital-based children's advocacy center. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2008;32(12):1119–26. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2008.05.006.
246. Frasier LD, Thraen I, Kaplan R, Goede P. Development of standardized clinical training cases for diagnosis of sexual abuse using a secure telehealth application. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2012;36(2):149–55. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2011.06.006.
247. Goyal MK, Mollen CJ, Hayes KL, Molnar J, Christian CW, Scribano PV et al. Enhancing the emergency department approach to pediatric sexual assault care: implementation of a pediatric sexual assault response team program. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2013;29(9):969–73. doi:10.1097/PEC.0b013e3182a21a0d.
248. Hornor G, McCleery J. Do pediatric nurse practitioners recognize sexual abuse? *J Pediatr Health Care*. 2000;14(2):45–9. doi:10.1067/mp.2000.101242.
249. Jina R, Jewkes R, Christofides N, Loots L. Knowledge and confidence of South African health care providers regarding post-rape care: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2013;13:257. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-13-257.
250. Johnson CF. Child abuse as a stressor of pediatricians. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 1999;15(2):84–9.
251. Larsen JV, Chapman JA, Armstrong A. Child sexual abuse in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1998;92(3):262–4.
252. Paavilainen E, Merikanto J, Åstedt-Kurki P, Laippala P, Tammentie T, Paunonen-Ilmonen M. Identification of child maltreatment while caring for them in a university hospital. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2002;39(3):287–94.
253. Palusci VJ, McHugh MT. Interdisciplinary training in the evaluation of child sexual abuse. *Child Abuse Negl*. 1995;19(9):1031–8.
254. Paradise JE, Finkel MA, Beiser AS, Berenson AB, Greenberg DB, Winter MR. Assessments of girls' genital findings and the likelihood of sexual abuse: agreement among physicians self-rated as skilled. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 1997;151(9):883–91.
255. Parra JM, Huston RL, Foulds DM. Resident documentation of diagnostic impression in sexual abuse evaluations. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 1997;36(12):691–4.
256. Paradise JE, Winter MR, Finkel MA, Berenson AB, Beiser AS. Influence of the history on physicians' interpretations of girls' genital findings. *Pediatrics*. 1999;103(5 Pt 1):980–6.
257. Sacks R, Emerson C. Genitourinary medicine trainees' experience and training needs in the management of patients disclosing sexual violence. *Intl J STD AIDS*. 2014;25(5):366–8. doi:10.1177/0956462413506886.
258. Smith DW, Witte TH, Fricker-Elhai AE. Service outcomes in physical and sexual abuse cases: a comparison of child advocacy center-based and standard services. *Child Maltreat*. 2006;11(4):354–60.
259. Socolar RRS. Physician knowledge of child sexual abuse. *Child Abuse Negl*. 1996;20(8):783–90.
260. Socolar RRS, Fredrickson DD, Block R, Moore JK, Tropez-Sims S, Whitworth JM. State programs for medical diagnosis of child abuse and neglect: case studies of five established or fledgling programs. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2001;25(4):441–55.
261. Speight CG, Klufo A, Kilonzo SN, Mbugua C, Kuria E, Bunn JE et al. Piloting post-exposure prophylaxis in Kenya raises specific concerns for the management of childhood rape. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2006;100(1):14–8.

262. van den Akker M, Mol SSL, Metsemakers JFM, Dinant GJ, Knottnerus JA. Barriers in the care of patients who have experienced a traumatic event: the perspective of general practice. *Fam Pract*. 2001;18(2):214–6.
263. Walsh WA, Cross TP, Jones LM, Simone M, Kolko DJ. Which sexual abuse victims receive a forensic medical examination? The impact of Children’s Advocacy Centers. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2007;31(10):1053–68.
264. Williams O, Forster G, Robinson A. Screening for sexually transmitted infections in children and adolescents in the United Kingdom: British co-operative clinical group. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2001;12(8):487–92.
265. Health care for women subjected to intimate partner violence or sexual violence: a clinical handbook – field testing version. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/136101/1/WHO_RHR_14.26_eng.pdf?ua=1, accessed 5 October, 2017).
266. Global plan of action to strengthen health systems, within a multisectoral response, to address interpersonal violence, in particular against women and girls and against children. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (<http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/252276/1/9789241511537-eng.pdf?ua=1>, accessed 15 September 2017).

ANNEX 1

Guideline contributors

The guideline development process was guided by the following groups:

The **WHO Steering Group**, led by the Department of Reproductive Health and Research, brought together a group of WHO staff members from the Department of Reproductive Health and Research, the Department of Management of Noncommunicable Diseases, Disability, Violence and Injury Prevention, the Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse, the Department of Maternal, Newborn, Child and Adolescent Health and the Department of HIV/AIDS, who led the guideline development process. The group was in charge of the scoping review for the guideline, drafting the PICO (population, intervention, comparator, outcome) questions, and overseeing the evidence retrieval and writing of the guideline. The Steering Group was also in charge of selecting the members of the collaborating groups, and organizing the guideline development meetings.

The **Guideline Development Group** (GDG), formed of 15 external (non-WHO) international stakeholders, including content experts, users of the guideline, clinical experts and researchers in the field of sexual violence against children and adolescents, advised on the content of the guideline and formulated the evidence-based recommendations.

An **External Review Group** (ERG) of relevant international stakeholders reviewed the final guideline document, to identify any factual errors and commented on the clarity of the language, contextual issues and implications for implementation.

WHO Steering Group

Department of Reproductive Health and Research

Avni Amin

Technical Officer
Adolescents and at-Risk Populations
(Responsible technical officer for the guideline)

Venkatraman Chandra-Mouli

Scientist
Adolescents and at-Risk Populations

Claudia Garcia-Moreno

Medical Officer
Adolescents and at-Risk Populations

Mary Lyn Gaffield

Scientist
Human Reproduction

Rajat Khosla

Human Rights Adviser
Reproductive Health and Research

Megin Reijnders

Technical Officer
Adolescents and at-Risk Populations

Teodora Wi

Medical Officer (STI)
Human Reproduction

Cicely Marston

Methodologist
London School of Hygiene and
Tropical Medicine
London
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Susanne Carai

Writer, consultant
Berlin, Germany

Department of HIV and AIDS

Nathan Ford

Scientist
HIV Treatment and Care

Martina Penazzato

Medical Officer
HIV Treatment and Care

Satvinder Singh

Technical Officer
HIV Treatment and Care

Department of Maternal, Newborn, Child and Adolescent Health

David Ross

Medical Officer
Research and Development

Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse

Chiara Servili

Technical Officer
Evidence, Research, Action on Mental and Brain disorders

Mark Van Ommeren

Public Mental Health Adviser
Evidence, Research, Action on Mental and Brain disorders

Department of Management of Noncommunicable Diseases, Disability, Violence and Injury Prevention

Alexander Butchart

Coordinator
Prevention of Violence

Berit Kieselbach

Technical Officer
Prevention of Violence

Christopher Mikton

(WHO staff at start of guidelines development)
Associate Professor of Criminology and Public Health
University of Bristol
Bristol
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Guideline Development Group

Maha Almuneef

Executive Director
National Family Safety Programme
Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs
Riyadh
Saudi Arabia

Sialondwe Mujimba Derrick

Child Sexual Abuse Coordinator
Livingstone Central Hospital
Child Sexual Abuse One Stop Centre
Livingstone
Zambia

Lina Akinyi Digolo

Head of Department, Research and Strategic Information and Gender-based Violence Technical Adviser
LVCT Health
Nairobi
Kenya

Asvini Fernando

Associate Professor of Paediatrics
Department of Paediatrics
University of Kelaniya
Kelaniya
Sri Lanka

Maria Herczog

Programme Director
Family Child Youth Association
Budapest
Hungary

Christopher Hobbs

Consultant
12 North Park Avenue
Leeds
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Harriet MacMillan (Co-Chair)

Departments of Psychiatry and Behavioural Neurosciences and Paediatrics
Offord Centre for Child Studies
Hamilton, Canada

Bernadette J Madrid (Co-Chair)

Executive Director
Child Protection Network Foundation Inc.
Manila
Philippines

Ben Mathews¹

Professor, School of Law
Australian Centre for Health Law Research
(Co-Director, Children's Health Programme)
Senior Research Fellow, Faculty of Law
Queensland University of Technology
Australia

Neil McKerrow

Head of Paediatrics and Child Health
Department of Health
KwaZulu-Natal
South Africa

¹ Ben Mathews also conducted the systematic literature review for the questions on good practice for reporting sexual abuse (GP7).

Pratima Mittal

Professor and Head
Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology
VMMC and Safdarjung Hospital
New Delhi
India

Asha Pemberton-Gaskin

Consultant Pediatrician and Adolescent Medicine
Specialist
Faculty of Medical Sciences
University of West Indies
Trinidad

Virginia Francis Iliff

Consultant Obstetrician Gynaecologist
Adolescent and Adult Rape Clinic
Parienyatwa Hospital
Harare
Zimbabwe

Elizabeth J Letourneau

Professor
Department of Mental Health
Director, Moore Center for the Prevention of Child
Sexual Abuse
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Baltimore
United States of America

Meggy Verputten

Gender-based Violence Specialist MSF
MSF Operational Centre
Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Observers**Jessie Gleckel**

Gender Adviser
HIV Prevention Branch
Division of Global HIV and Tuberculosis
United States Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
Atlanta, United States of America

Rebecca Gordon

Senior Programme Adviser
Together for Girls
Washington DC
United States of America

Christine Leigh MacKee

Manager, Family Violence Research Program
Preventing Violence Across the Lifespan (PreVAiL)
Research Network
London
Canada

Amelia Peltz

Senior Gender Advisor
Office of HIV/AIDS
Bureau for Global Health
United States Agency for International Development
Virginia
United States of America

Systematic review group**Ben Mathews**

Systematic review (Lead), reporting abuse
Professor, School of Law
Australian Centre for Health Law Research (Co-Director,
Children's Health Program)
Senior Research Fellow, Faculty of Law
Faculty of Law,
Queensland University of Technology
Australia

Sarah Beckham

Literature review, values and preferences
Department of Health, Behavior and Society, Johns
Hopkins School of Public Health
Baltimore
United States of America

Elena Broaddeus

Literature review, values and preferences
Department of International Health
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Baltimore
United States of America

Juliet Davis

Systematic review, reporting abuse
Research Assistant
Queensland University of Technology
Australia

Donna Fitzpatrick

Systematic review, psychosocial/mental health
interventions
Senior Research Coordinator
McMaster Evidence Review and Synthesis Centre
(MERSC)
McMaster University
Hamilton, Canada

Nathan Ford

Systematic review, HIV PEP adherence
Scientist
HIV Treatment and Care
World Health Organization
Geneva, Switzerland

Hellen Kelly

Systematic review, STI prevention and treatment
Assistant Professor
Clinical Research Department
Faculty of Infections and Tropical Diseases
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine
London, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern
Ireland

Philippe Mayaud

Systematic review, STI prevention and treatment (Lead)
Professor of Infectious Disease and Reproductive
Health
Clinical Research Department
Faculty of Infections and Tropical Diseases
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine
London
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Jill McTavish

Systematic review, psychosocial/mental health
interventions (Lead)
Post-Doctoral Fellow
Departments of Psychiatry and Behavioural
Neurosciences and Paediatrics
Offord Centre for Child Studies
Hamilton
Canada

Kerry Scott

Literature review, values and preferences (lead)
Global Health Consultant, Bangalore India Research
Associate
Department of International Health
Johns Hopkins School of Public Health
Karnataka
India

Zara Shubber

Systematic review, HIV PEP adherence (Lead)
Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology
Imperial College
London, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern
Ireland

Ali Usman

Systematic review, psychosocial/mental health
interventions,
Epidemiologist
McMaster Evidence Review and Synthesis Centre
(MERSC)
McMaster University
Hamilton, Canada

External Review Group

Christine Amisi

Executive
Panzi Foundation
Democratic Republic of the Congo

Irene Guat Sim Cheah

Consultant Paediatrician and Neonatologist
Paediatric Institute Hospital
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Vikas Choudhry

Vice President, Public Health Practice
Sambodhi Research and Communications
New Delhi, India

Aaron Miller

Paediatrician Consultant
Building Regional Alliances to Nurture Child Health
(BRANCH)
Department of Health, Child Sexual Abuse
New York, United States of America

Emily Monaghan

Sexual and Reproductive Health Adviser
Save the Children
London, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern
Ireland

Ana María Aguirre Monteverde

Advocacy Officer for the Child and Adolescent Sexual
Assault Advisory Group
Asociación Civil de Planificación Familiar (PLAFAM)
Venezuela

Richard Roylance

Eminent Staff Specialist Paediatrician
Griffith University
Queensland, Australia

ANNEX 2

Implications of the strength of the recommendation

| IMPLICATIONS | STRONG RECOMMENDATION | CONDITIONAL RECOMMENDATION |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| For clients | Most people in this situation would want the recommended course of action and only a small proportion would not. | Most people in this situation would want the recommended course of action, but many would not. |
| For clinicians | Most clients should receive the recommended course of action. | Different choices will be appropriate for different clients, who will require assistance in arriving at a decision consistent with their values and preferences. |
| For policy-makers | The recommendation can be adopted as a policy in most situations. | Policy-making will require substantial debate and involvement of many stakeholders. |

ANNEX 3

International and regional human rights treaties and consensus documents containing safeguards that are relevant for child and adolescent sexual abuse

International instruments

- Convention on the Rights of the Child, adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly resolution 44/25 of 20 November 1989, entry into force 2 September 1990, in accordance with article 49. New York: United Nations; 1990 (A/44/49; <http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/crc.aspx>).
- United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child. General comment no. 4, Adolescent health and development in the context of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. New York: United Nations; 2003 (CRC/GC/2003/4; <http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/Women/WRGS/Health/GC4.pdf>).
- United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child. General comment no. 12, The right of the child to be heard. New York: United Nations; 2009 (CRC/C/GC/12; <http://www.refworld.org/docid/4ae562c52.html>).
- United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child. General comment no. 13, The right of the child to freedom from all forms of violence. New York: United Nations; 2011 (CRC/C/GC/13; <http://www.refworld.org/docid/4e6da4922.html>).
- United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child. General comment no. 14, The right of the child to have his or her best interests taken as a primary consideration (art. 3, para. 1). New York: United Nations; 2013 (CRC/C/GC/14; <http://www.refworld.org/docid/51a84b5e4.html>).
- United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child. General comment no. 15, The right of the child to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health (art. 24). New York: United Nations; 2013 (CRC/C/GC/15; <http://www.refworld.org/docid/51ef9e134.html>).
- United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child. General comment no. 20, The implementation of the rights of the child during adolescence (art. 39). New York: United Nations; 2016 (CRC/C/GC/20; <http://www.refworld.org/docid/589dad3d4.html>).
- Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women, adopted 18 December 1979, entry into force, 3 September 1981. New York: United Nations; 1980 (<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/text/econvention.htm>).
- United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women. General Recommendation no. 24. Women and Health (art. 12). New York: United Nations; 1999 (<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/recommendations/recomm.htm#recom24>).
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly resolution 2200A (XXI) of 16 December 1966, entry into force 23 March 1976, in accordance with Article 49. New York: United Nations; 1976 (A-14668; <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CCPR.aspx>).

- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly resolution 2200A (XXI) of 16 December 1966, entry into force 3 January 1976, in accordance with article 27. New York: United Nations; 1976 (<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CESCR.aspx>).

Regional instruments

- African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, adopted 11 July 1990, entry into force, 29 November 1999. Addis Ababa: Organization of African Unity; 1999 (CAB/LEG/24.9/49; <http://www.refworld.org/docid/3ae6b38c18.html>).
- European Convention on the Exercise of Children's Rights, adopted 25 January 1996, entry into force 1 July 2000. Strasbourg: Council of Europe; 2000 (CETS 160; <https://rm.coe.int/CoERMPublicCommonSearchServices/DisplayDCTMContent?documentId=090000168007cdaf>).
- Council of Europe Convention on Preventing and Combating Violence Against Women and Domestic Violence, adopted 11 May 2011, entry into force 1 August 2014. Strasbourg: Council of Europe; 2014 (CETS 210; <https://rm.coe.int/168008482e>).

ANNEX 4

List of supplementary materials in Web Annexes

The following documents are available as supplementary materials either as Web Annexes or upon request from reproductivehealth@who.int. These include: (i) the full reports of all the systematic reviews and additional literature reviews containing the protocols, search strategies and results; (ii) evidence-to-decision tables; and (iii) GRADE tables, where relevant.

Web Annexes^a

| | REVIEW | EVIDENCE-TO-DECISION TABLE | GRADE TABLE |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Summary of declaration-of-interest statements | Web Annex 1 | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| Initial health response (Good practice statements 1–4) | Web Annex 2 | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| Adherence to HIV post-exposure prophylaxis (Recommendation 4) | Web Annex 3 | Web Annex 3a | Web Annex 3b |
| STI prophylaxis/syndromic management (Recommendations 6 & 7) | Web Annex 4 | Web Annex 4a | Not applicable |
| Psychosocial interventions for children and adolescents only (Recommendation 11) | Web Annex 5 | Web Annex 5a | Web Annex 5b |
| Psychosocial interventions for children and adolescents and caregivers (Recommendation 12) | Web Annex 6 | Web Annex 6a | Web Annex 6b |
| Reporting abuse (Good practice statement 7) | Web Annex 7 | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| List of PICO questions and critical outcomes | Web Annex 8 | Not applicable | Not applicable |

^a Web Annex 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 are available upon request by writing to: reproductivehealth@who.int.

For more information, please contact


Department of Reproductive Health and Research
World Health Organization
Avenue Appia 20, CH 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland

Fax: +41 22 791 4171

Email: reproductivehealth@who.int

www.who.int/reproductivehealth

ISBN 978-92-4-155014-7



9 789241 550147